STATISTICS OF THE ZEROS OF L-FUNCTIONS AND ARITHMETIC CORRELATIONS

arXiv:1607.03297v1 [math.NT] 12 Jul 2016

Dale Smith

School of Mathematics

July 2016

A dissertation submitted to the University of Bristol in accordance with the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Science

Abstract

This thesis determines some of the implications of non-universal and emergent universal statistics on arithmetic correlations and fluctuations of arithmetic functions, in particular correlations amongst prime numbers and the variance of the expected number of prime numbers over short intervals are generalised by associating these concepts to L-functions arising from number theoretic objects.

Inspired by work in quantum chaology, which shares the property of displaying emergent universality, in chapter 2 a heuristic is given to determine the behaviour of a correlation function associated to functions in the Selberg class from the universal form of the 2-point correlation statistic conjectured for this class. Also in this chapter, the Riemann zeta function is taken as an example of an L-function from which the correlations between pairs of prime numbers arise from a non-universal form of the 2-point correlation statistic for its zeros. Chapter 3 explores the implications of the 2-point correlation statistic on an arithmetic variance associated to functions in the Selberg class, generalising the variance of primes in short intervals. Many of the ideas in this thesis are based on the paper, [BKS16], joint with Hung Bui and Jon Keating.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Jon Keating, for providing me with valuable opportunities during my time in Bristol. I'm also very grateful to Hung Bui and Ghaith Hiary for many helpful discussions, to Brian Conrey and Chris Hughes for taking the time to conduct my viva and provide various useful comments, and to Aman Arora and Adam Moas for sharing their programming expertise with me. Finally, I wish to express my gratitude to my family for their continued support.

Author's declaration

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the University's Regulations and Code of Practice for Research Degree Programmes and that it has not been submitted for any other academic award. Except where indicated by specific reference in the text, the work is the candidate's own work. Work done in collaboration with, or with the assistance of, others, is indicated as such. Any views expressed in the dissertation are those of the author.

Dale Smith, July 13, 2016.

List of Tables

B.1 Variance data for the Riemann zeta function case for $X = 15000000$ 78
B.2 Variance data for the Riemann zeta function case for $X = 15000000$ 79
B.3 Variance data for the Ramanujan tau function case for $X = 1000000$ 79
B.4 Variance data for the Ramanujan tau function case for $X = 1000000$ 80
B.5 Variance data for the Ramanujan tau function case for $X = 1000000$ 81
B.6 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E27.a1 for $X = 1000000$ 81
B.7 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E27.a1 for $X = 1000000$ 82
B.8 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E27.a1 for $X = 1000000$ 83
B.9 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.a1 for $X = 1000000$ 83
B.10 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.a1 for $X = 1000000$ 84
B.11 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.a1 for $X = 1000000$ 85
B.12 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.b2 for $X = 1000000$ 85
B.13 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.b2 for $X = 1000000$ 86
B.14 Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.b2 for $X = 1000000$ 87

C.1 Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case . . . 88
C.2 Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case . . . 89
C.3 Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case . . . 90

C.4 Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case . . . 91
C.5 Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case . . 92
C.6 Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case . . 93
C.7 Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case . . 94
C.8 Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case . . 95
C.9 Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3 95
C.10 Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3 96
C.11 Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3 97
C.12 Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3 98
C.13 Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3 99

List of Figures

3.1 Variance for the Riemann zeta function case for $X = 15000000$. 63

3.2 Variance for the Ramanujan tau function case for $X = 1000000$ 64

3.3 Variances for the elliptic curve cases E27.a1, E37.a1 and E37.b2 for $X = 1000000$ 65

4.1 Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case . . . 68

4.2 Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case . . 69

4.3 Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3 70

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

1.1 Quantum chaology 1

1.1.1 Spectral behaviour 1

1.1.2 Correlations in the actions of periodic orbits 4

1.2 The Selberg class 5

1.2.1 Examples of L-functions 10

1.3 Outline of results in this thesis 22

1.3.1 Heuristic 1 22

1.3.2 Heuristic 2 23

1.3.3 Heuristic 3 23

1.3.4 Theorem 1 24

1.3.5 Theorem 2 24

1.3.6 Theorem 3 25

1.3.7 Theorem 4 25

1.3.8 Remarks 25

2 Zero statistics and arithmetic correlations associated to L-functions **27**

2.1 The 2-point correlation statistic for the Selberg class 27

2.2 Inverting the limiting form of the 2-point correlation statistic for the Selberg class 33

2.3 Inverting the 2-point correlation statistic for the Riemann zeta function . . . 36

3 Generalised primes in short intervals 40

3.1 A reduced form of the 2-point correlation statistic for the Selberg class . . . 42

3.2 $Var^{mul}(X, \delta; F)$ . . . 46

3.2.1 Lemma A . . . 47

3.2.2 Lemma B . . . 50

3.2.3 Lemma C . . . 52

3.3 $Var^{fix}(X, h; F)$ . . . 55

3.3.1 Lemma D . . . 60

3.4 Numerics . . . 62

4 Outlook 66

4.1 Random matrix theory and higher order correlations . . . 66

4.2 Hardy-Littlewood type conjectures . . . 67

A Code for numerics 71

A.1 Python code for Sage . . . 71

A.1.1 Generating Ramanujan tau values . . . 71

A.1.2 Writing Ramanujan tau values to a file . . . 71

A.1.3 Generating coefficients associated to an elliptic curve . . . 72

A.1.4 Writing coefficients associated to an elliptic curve to a file 72

A.2 Java code . . . 72

A.2.1 Class for the Riemann zeta function and Ramanujan tau function . . . 72

A.2.2 Writing variance data and correlation data to a file . . . 77

B Variance data 78

C Correlation data **88**

D Exponential weight **100**

Notation

A list of commonly used notation is given here, where used otherwise this is indicated in the text.

Symbols

m and n	natural numbers
p and q	prime numbers
x and y	real variables
s	complex variable

Operations

$*$ and cc	complex conjugate
$\Im$	imaginary part
$\Re$	real part
$'$	derivative
$\int$	integral
$\mathscr{F}$	Fourier transform

Conventions

- The integral, $\int$, is to be taken over the whole domain of the variables of integration unless specified otherwise by a subscript. For integration over a domain, D, this is denoted by $\int_D$.

- A function, f, with the Fourier transform, $\mathscr{F}[f](k)$, is given by the convention $\mathscr{F}[f](k) = \int f(x) \exp(-ikx)\, dx$, so that $f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathscr{F}[f](k) \exp(ikx)\, dk$.

Functions and distributions

$f(s)$ and $g(s)$	generic functions
1_A	indicator function for condition A
$\Gamma(s)$	gamma function
$F(s)$	function in the Selberg class
$m(F)$	polar order of F
$\deg(F)$	degree of F
$\mathfrak{q}(F)$	conductor of F

$\Lambda(n; F)$	von Mangoldt function associated to F
$\psi(x, h; F)$	$\displaystyle\sum_{x<n\leq x+h} \Lambda(n; F)$
$Var^{mul}(X, \delta; F)$	$\displaystyle\frac{1}{X}\int_{1\leq x\leq X} \lvert\psi(x, \delta x; F) - m(F)\delta x\rvert^2\, dx$
$Var^{fix}(X, h; F)$	$\displaystyle\frac{1}{X}\int_{1\leq x\leq X} \lvert\psi(x, h; F) - m(F)h\rvert^2\, dx$
$\mathrm{Si}(x)$	sine integral
$\mathrm{erf}(x)$	error function
$\delta(x)$	Dirac delta distribution

Relations

In some domain, f is said to be Big O of g or less than less than g if

$$|f| < c|g|,$$

where c is a constant. If c depends on x then this is written

$$f = O_x(g) \text{ or } f \ll_x g$$

respectively, with the subscript dropped otherwise.

Chapter 1

Introduction

This thesis begins by introducing concepts in 2 apparently disparate fields of study, namely quantum chaology and analytic number theory. However, as will emerge, there are similarities that provide intrigue for further investigation.

1.1 Quantum chaology

Quantum chaology is the study of quantum systems with classically chaotic counterparts. A remarkable feature of such systems is that the behaviour of their energy spectra may be deduced from the underlying classical dynamics, in particular via the periodic orbits in the system.

1.1.1 Spectral behaviour

Gutzwiller's trace formula provides the leading order $\hbar \to 0$ asymptotic for the spectral energy density,

$$d(e; D) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \delta(e - e(n; D)), \tag{1.1.1}$$

where D corresponds to a system with classically chaotic dynamics with energy spectrum $e(n; D)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

$$d(e; D) \sim d^{mean}(e; D) + d^{osc}(e; D), \tag{1.1.2}$$

with mean density,

$$d^{mean}(e;D) = \Omega(e(D))/(2\pi\hbar)^f, \tag{1.1.3}$$

where f is the number of degrees of freedom of D and $\Omega(e(D))$ is the volume of the energy shell. The oscillating part of the spectral density, $d^{osc}(e;D)$, is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\sum_{\substack{p\\k\geq 1}} A(p,k;D)T(p;D)\exp(ik/\hbar(S(p;D)-\pi\mu(p;D)/2)) + cc, \tag{1.1.4}$$

where $A(p,k;D), T(p;D), S(p;D)$ and $\mu(p;D)$ are respectively the stability amplitude, the period, the action and the Maslov index associated to primitive orbits, p, and their repetitions, k, in D. In particular the stability amplitude for the kth repetition of p is given by

$$A(p,k;D) = |\det(M^k(p;D) - I(D))|^{-1/2}, \tag{1.1.5}$$

where $M(p;D)$ is the monodromy matrix associated to D and $I(D)$ is the identity matrix, with its dimension equal to $M(p;D)$.

The 2-point correlation statistic,

$$R_2(x,E;D) = \langle d(e+x_1;D)d(e+x_2;D)\rangle_E\,, \tag{1.1.6}$$

provides information on the distribution of energies averaged over an interval around E, specifically on pairs of energies with the separation $x = x_2 - x_1$. Substituting (1.1.3) and (1.1.4) into (1.1.6) gives a sum over the primitive orbits p and q, and their repetitions k and l, resulting in

$$R_2(x,E;D) = (d^{mean}(E;D))^2 + R_2^d(x,E;D) + R_2^o(x,E;D), \tag{1.1.7}$$

with $R_2^d(x,E;D)$ corresponding to the diagonal terms, with $kS(p;D) = lS(q;D)$, and $R_2^o(x,E;D)$ to the off-diagonal terms, with $kS(p;D) \neq lS(q;D)$.

Bogomolny and Keating calculated this statistic in [BK96a]. As described in [Kea99], there is an exact formula for the diagonal contribution,

$$R_2^d(x,E;D) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2\hbar^2}\Re\left[\frac{d^2}{dw^2}\log Z(w;D) - a(w;D)\right]_{w=ix/\hbar}, \tag{1.1.8}$$

where

$$1/Z(w;D) = \prod_p Z(w,p;D) \tag{1.1.9}$$

with

$$Z(w,p;D) = \prod_{n\geq 0}\left(1 - \frac{\exp(wT(p;D))}{|\Xi(p;D)|\Xi^n(p;D)}\right)^{(n+1)g(p;D)} \tag{1.1.10}$$

and

$$a(w;D) = \sum_{\substack{p\\ n\geq 0}} \frac{(n+1)g(p;D)T^2(p;D)}{(|\Xi(p;D)|\Xi^n(p;D)\exp(-wT(p;D))-1)^2}, \tag{1.1.11}$$

where $g(p;D)$ is the multiplicity of the pth primitive orbit and $\Xi(p;D)$ the largest eigenvalue of $M(p;D)$.

Furthermore, there is a non-zero off-diagonal contribution, indicating the presence of correlations between the actions of distinct orbits. For the case of ergodic systems without time-reversal symmetry, $Z(w;D)$ has a pole at $w=0$ with residue γ, and

$$R_2^o(x,E;D) = \left|Z(ix/\hbar;D)/\sqrt{2}\pi\hbar\gamma\right|^2$$
$$\Re\left(\exp(2\pi i d^{mean}(E;D)x)\prod_p \chi(p,x/\hbar;D)\right), \tag{1.1.12}$$

where

$$\chi(p,x;D) = |Z(0,p;D)/Z(ix,p;D)|^2$$
$${}_2\phi_1(a(p;D),b(p;D);c(p;D);q(p;D),z(p;D)), \tag{1.1.13}$$

with $a(p;D) = b(p;D) = \exp(-ixT(p;D))$, $c(p;D) = q(p;D) = \Xi^{-1}(p;D)$, $z(p;D) = |\Xi(p;D)|^{-1}\exp(ixT(p;D))$, and

$${}_2\phi_1(a,b;c;q,z) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(a;q)_n(b;q)_n}{(c;q)_n}\frac{z^n}{(q;q)_n} \tag{1.1.14}$$

is the q-hypergeometric series, with the q-Pochhammer symbol given by

$$(d;q)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n=0 \\ \displaystyle\prod_{0\leq i\leq n-1}(1-dq^i) & \text{if } n>0. \end{cases} \tag{1.1.15}$$

Correlations between off-diagonal terms are however unknown in general, as such (1.1.12) was obtained indirectly, from the diagonal terms.

For a generic non-time-reversal symmetric system $g(p;D)$ is replaced by 1, rescaling the 2-point correlation then gives the system independent expression

$$\tilde{R}_2^d(x;D) = \lim_{E\to\infty} \frac{R_2^d(x/d^{mean}(E;D),E;D)}{(d^{mean}(E;D))^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2x^2}. \tag{1.1.16}$$

Rescaling the off-diagonal contribution in the same way, the analytic structure of Z around the pole gives

$$\tilde{R}_2^o(x;D) = \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{2\pi^2x^2}, \tag{1.1.17}$$

together with the diagonal contribution giving a universal form for the rescaled 2-point correlation;

$$\tilde{R}_2(x;D) = 1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}\right)^2. \tag{1.1.18}$$

This emergent universality coincides with a celebrated conjecture of Bohigas, Giannoni and Schmit. In [BGS84] it was conjectured that the form of the universal spectral statistics are purely a consequence of the symmetries present in the system under consideration, for further information on the BGS conjecture several chapters of [ABDF11] are recommended.

1.1.2 Correlations in the actions of periodic orbits

Assuming the BGS conjecture, in [ADDea93] correlations between the actions of distinct orbits were quantified and compared with numerics for specific systems. Specialising to systems with 2 degrees of freedom and even Maslov indicies, the weighted correlation statistic,

$$P(x,T;D) = \sum_{\substack{p,q\\ k,l\geq 1\\ (p,k)\neq(q,l)}} (-1)^{(\mu(p;D)-\mu(q;D))/2} A(p,k;D)A(q,l;D)$$
$$\delta(x-(S(p,k;D)-S(q,l;D))\Delta T f(T-T(p;D))f(T-T(q;D)) \tag{1.1.19}$$

was studied, where

$$f(y) = \sqrt{2}/(\Delta T)\exp(-2\pi/(\Delta T)^2 y^2) \tag{1.1.20}$$

for the time interval ΔT, with the pairs (p,k) and (q,l) denoting the kth repetition of the pth periodic orbit and the lth repetition of the qth periodic orbit respectively. Rescaling (1.1.19) to

$$\hat{P}(z) = \frac{P\left(\Omega(E;D)/(2\pi T)z, T; D\right)}{(2\pi T^2)/\Omega(E;D)} \tag{1.1.21}$$

for their numerics, this was determined by inverting a general expression for the spectral statistics. Using the off-diagonal spectral correlations given by the universal form (1.1.17) for non-time-reversal symmetric systems, (1.1.21) was shown to be well approximated by

$$-2/\pi\left(\frac{\sin z/2}{z}\right)^2. \tag{1.1.22}$$

Making the diagonal approximation, that is neglecting any correlations between periodic orbits, in [Ber85] Berry found the universal behaviour given by (1.1.16). The correlations indicated by (1.1.22) were subsequently found to be related to crossings of periodic orbits, the underlying mechanism for the leading order off-diagonal contributions, (1.1.17), now known as the Sieber-Richter pair due to the work in [SR01].

More precise action correlation information may be recovered from the added structure available in (1.1.12). Analogous correlations will be deduced in the next chapter in the simpler case of a class of model systems coming from analytic number theory, where the analogues of (1.1.22) and its more precise counterpart are of an arithmetic nature.

1.2 The Selberg class

Selberg's eponymous class was introduced in [Sel92] as an attempt to provide an axiomatic foundation for L-functions arising in analytic number theory. A

function, F, in the Selberg class, is given by

$$F(s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{a(n;F)}{n^s} = \exp\left(\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{b(n;F)}{n^s}\right) \tag{1.2.1}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$, where for every $\epsilon > 0$ the complex multiplicative function

$$a(n;F) = O(n^\epsilon), \tag{1.2.2}$$

with $a(1;F) = 1$. The complex function

$$b(n;F) = O(n^\theta) \tag{1.2.3}$$

for some $\theta < 1/2$, with $b(n;F) = 0$ unless n is a power of a prime number. A function in the Selberg class is therefore specified by the values of its coefficients at prime powers,

$$F(s) = \prod_p \left(\sum_{l\geq 0} \frac{a(p^l;F)}{p^{ls}}\right) = \prod_p \exp\left(\sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{b(p^k;F)}{p^{ks}}\right). \tag{1.2.4}$$

The coefficients may be related at prime powers by equating the derivatives,

$$-\log p \sum_{l\geq 0} \frac{la(p^l;F)}{p^{ls}} = -\log p \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{a(p^m;F)}{p^{ms}} \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{kb(p^k;F)}{p^{ks}}, \tag{1.2.5}$$

so that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{na(p^n;F)}{p^{ns}} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{p^{ns}} \sum_{\substack{m\geq 0, k\geq 1 \\ n=m+k}} ka(p^m;F)b(p^k;F) \tag{1.2.6}$$

and

$$a(p^n;F) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} ka(p^{n-k};F)b(p^k;F). \tag{1.2.7}$$

Functions in the Selberg class are also endowed with a meromorphic continuation to the rest of the complex plane, with a pole of order $m(F) \geq 0$ at $s = 1$ and a functional equation,

$$F(s) = X(s;F)\overline{F}(1-s), \tag{1.2.8}$$

where

$$X(s;F) = \epsilon(F)Q^{1-2s} \prod_{1\leq j\leq r} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda_j(1-s)+\mu_j^*)}{\Gamma(\lambda_j s+\mu_j)} \tag{1.2.9}$$

for some $|\epsilon(F)| = 1$, $Q > 0$, $\lambda_j > 0$ and $\Re(\mu_j) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $r \geq 0$, where $r = 0$ gives an empty product of unity. Given a function, G, the overline notation is used for the operation

$$\overline{G}(s) = G^*(s^*). \tag{1.2.10}$$

The form of the functional equation is not uniquely determined by F, for example applying Legendre's duplication formula,

$$\Gamma(s) = \pi^{-1/2} 2^{s-1} \Gamma((s+1)/2)\Gamma(s/2), \tag{1.2.11}$$

to the gamma functions appearing in $X(s;F)$, doubles the value of r. However the degree of F,

$$\deg(F) = 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \lambda_j, \tag{1.2.12}$$

is invariant under changes to the form of the functional equation. Another invariant is the conductor of F,

$$\mathfrak{q}(F) = (2\pi)^{\deg(F)} Q^2 \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} \lambda_j^{2\lambda_j}. \tag{1.2.13}$$

By the exponential form of F there are no zeros in the region $\Re(s) > 1$. Furthermore, by the functional equation F is also non-zero for $\Re(s) < 0$ except for the points $-\mu_j/\lambda_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$, if $\mu_j = 0$, and $-(m+\mu_j)/\lambda_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ otherwise, corresponding to the poles of the gamma function.

The leading order $|t| \to \infty$ asymptotics for the analogue of (1.1.1),

$$d(t;F) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \delta(t - t(n;F)), \tag{1.2.14}$$

where the nth non-trivial zero of F is denoted by $\sigma(n;F) + it(n;F)$, have a similar form to (1.1.3) and (1.1.4). For $r > 0$ the mean density is given by

$$d^{mean}(t;F) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(\mathfrak{q}(F) \left(\frac{|t|}{2\pi} \right)^{\deg(F)} \right) \tag{1.2.15}$$

and the oscillating contribution is given formally by,

$$d^{osc}(t;F) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{\substack{p\\k\geq 1}} b(p^k;F)\log p^k p^{-k/2}\exp(-ik|t|\log p) + cc. \tag{1.2.16}$$

As in the case of quantum chaology, it is not feasible to directly calculate the 2-point correlation, since in general the inherent correlations are unknown, in particular for the function

$$\Lambda(n;F) = b(n;F)\log n, \tag{1.2.17}$$

the negative values of which serve as coefficients for the logarithmic derivative of F,

$$\frac{F'}{F}(s) = -\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\Lambda(n;F)}{n^s} \text{ for } \Re(s) > 1. \tag{1.2.18}$$

In [KP03] it was shown that as $x \to \infty$, for any function in the Selberg class

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\Lambda(n;F) \sim m(F)x, \tag{1.2.19}$$

assuming a conjecture made by Selberg in [Sel92], namely Selberg's orthogonality conjecture, which says that

$$\sum_{p\leq x}\frac{a(p;F)a^*(p;G)}{p} = 1_{F=G}\log\log x + O(1), \tag{1.2.20}$$

where F and G are primitive functions in the Selberg class, that is, they cannot be expressed as a product of other functions in the Selberg class in a non-trivial way. Assuming instead, as conjectured by Selberg in [Sel92], that all non-trivial zeros of any function in the class have a real part of $1/2$, there is the explicit formula

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\leq x}\Lambda(n;F) &= m(F)x - \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{x^{1/2+it(n;F)}}{1/2+it(n;F)}1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z} \\ &+ O(\log x\min\{1, x/(Z||x||)\}) + O(x(\log xZ)^2/Z),\end{aligned} \tag{1.2.21}$$

where $||x||$ is the distance from x to the closest integer, which follows as in chapter 17 of [Dav00].

Under the same assumption on the horizontal positions in the complex plane of the non-trivial zeros, as well as Selberg's orthogonality conjecture, in [MP99] Murty and Perelli studied

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T;F,G)=\sum_{m,n\geq 1}X^{i(t(m;F)-t(n;G))}w(t(m;F)-t(n;G))1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|<T}, \tag{1.2.22}$$

where

$$w(u)=\frac{4}{4+u^2}. \tag{1.2.23}$$

Fixing the primitive function F, and allowing the other primitive function, G, to vary, they made the conjecture that

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T;F,G)=\frac{1_{F=G}T\log X}{\pi}+\frac{\deg(F)\deg(G)T(\log T)^2}{\pi X^2}(1+o(1))+o(1) \tag{1.2.24}$$

uniformly for $T^{A_1}\leq X\leq T^{A_2}$ for any fixed A_1 and A_2 satisfying $0<A_1\leq A_2\leq \deg(F)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T;F,G)=\frac{\deg(F)T\log T}{\pi}(1_{F=G}+o(1)) \tag{1.2.25}$$

uniformly for $T^{A_1}\leq X\leq T^{A_2}$ for any fixed A_1 and A_2 satisfying $\deg(F)\leq A_1\leq A_2$.

Murty and Perelli showed that unique factorisation into primitive functions follows from their conjecture for $\mathcal{F}(X,T;F,G)$, a result shown in [CG93] to be a consequence of Selberg's orthogonality conjecture. Their conjecture also has implications for the fluctuations about the average behaviour given in (1.2.19) over short intervals. In chapter 3 the variances

$$Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F)=\frac{1}{X}\int_{1\leq x\leq X}|\psi(x,\delta x;F)-m(F)\delta x|^2\,dx \tag{1.2.26}$$

and

$$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)=\frac{1}{X}\int_{1\leq x\leq X}|\psi(x,h;F)-m(F)h|^2\,dx, \tag{1.2.27}$$

are studied over short multiplicative and fixed intervals respectively, where

$$\psi(x,h;F)=\sum_{x<n\leq x+h}\Lambda(n;F), \tag{1.2.28}$$

employing a more precise form of the conjecture made by Murty and Perelli with $F = G$. In doing so, functions are combined via the tensor product

$$(F \otimes \overline{G})(s) = \prod_p \exp\left(\sum_{l\geq 1} \frac{lb(p^l;F)b^*(p^l;G)}{p^{ls}}\right). \tag{1.2.29}$$

Its logarithmic derivative is given by

$$\frac{(F\otimes\overline{G})'}{(F\otimes\overline{G})}(s) = -\sum_{\substack{p\\ l\geq 1}} \frac{l^2 b(p^l;F)b^*(p^l;G)\log p}{p^{ls}}, \tag{1.2.30}$$

so for $\Re(s) > 1$

$$\frac{(F\otimes\overline{G})'}{(F\otimes\overline{G})}(s) = -\sum_p \frac{a(p;F)a^*(p;G)\log p}{p^s} + O(1). \tag{1.2.31}$$

Applying partial summation and making use of Selberg's orthogonality conjecture gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p\leq x} \frac{a(p;F)a^*(p;G)}{p^{1+\sigma}} =& \sigma \int_{1\leq t\leq x} \frac{1_{F=G}\log\log t + O(1)}{t^{1+\sigma}}\, dt \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1_{F=G}\log\log x}{x^{\Re(\sigma)}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{1.2.32}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_p \frac{a(p;F)a^*(p;F)}{p^{1+\sigma}} =& \sigma\int_{t\geq 1} \frac{\log\log t}{t^{1+\sigma}}\, dt + O(1) \\ =& \log\frac{1}{\sigma} + O(1),\end{aligned} \tag{1.2.33}$$

showing that

$$\frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})'}{(F\otimes\overline{F})}(1+\sigma) \sim -\frac{1}{\sigma}, \tag{1.2.34}$$

and the presence of a simple pole at $s = 1$ for $(F \otimes \overline{G})(s)$ when $F = G$.

1.2.1 Examples of L-functions

An alternative to the Selberg class formulation of L-functions is to consider them as arising from automorphic representations of the general linear group over a number field, with primitive L-functions of degree d attached to cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL(d)/\mathbb{Q}$. Historically, the first L-function studied was the Riemann zeta function.

The Riemann zeta function

Originally studied by Euler as a function of a real variable, Riemann first treated*

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \tag{1.2.35}$$

as a function of a complex variable, for $\Re(s) > 1$, and then continued to the rest of the complex plane, except for $s = 1$ where there is a pole of order 1. The zeros occur in complex conjugate pairs since $\zeta(s^*) = (\zeta(s))^*$, also by the Euler product representation for $\Re(s) > 1$,

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}, \tag{1.2.36}$$

there are no zeros in this region of the complex plane. Riemann showed that there is a functional equation,

$$\zeta(s) = \pi^{s-1/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})} \zeta(1-s), \tag{1.2.37}$$

so that the only zeros with $\Re(s) < 0$ occur at $s = -2n$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. The functional equation also shows that each zero generates another by reflection about the critical line, $\Re(s) = 1/2$, where Riemann's famous hypothesis asserts all the non-trivial zeros lie. Upon stating what is now known as the Riemann hypothesis, Riemann sketched a proof of the prime number theorem,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \sim x \text{ as } x \to \infty, \tag{1.2.38}$$

where

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n \text{ is a power of a prime, } p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.2.39}$$

is the von Mangoldt function. The prime number theorem was subsequently proved independently by De la Valée Poussin and Hadamard by showing that there are no zeros with real part equal to 1, for further details one of the many

*Riemann's influencial paper can be found in the appendix of [Edw01]

texts covering the theory of the Riemann zeta function may be consulted, for example [Apo76], [Tit86], [Edw01] or [Pat88].

The statistic $\mathcal{F}(X,T;F,G)$ was first studied in [Mon73]. Montgomery considered

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(X,T) =& \sum_{m,n\geq 1} X^{i(\gamma(m)-\gamma(n))} w(\gamma(m)-\gamma(n)) 1_{0<\gamma(m),\gamma(n)<T} \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}(X,T;\zeta,\zeta),\end{aligned} \tag{1.2.40}$$

where assuming the Riemann hypothesis the mth zero is denoted $1/2+i\gamma(m)$. Montgomery proved that

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T) = \frac{T\log X}{2\pi} + \frac{T(\log T)^2}{2\pi X^2}(1+o(1)) + o(1) \tag{1.2.41}$$

uniformly for $T^{A_1} \leq X \leq T^{A_2}$ for any fixed A_1 and A_2 satisfying $0 < A_1 \leq A_2 < 1$ and conjectured that

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T) = \frac{T\log T}{2\pi}(1+o(1)) \tag{1.2.42}$$

uniformly for $T^{A_1} \leq X \leq T^{A_2}$ for any fixed A_1 and A_2 satisfying $1 \leq A_1 \leq A_2$. His conjecture was motivated by the work of Hardy and Littlewood on the distribution of pairs of primes, who in [HL23] conjectured that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+r)\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} 2\prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right)\prod_{q|r}\frac{q-1}{q-2} & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases} \tag{1.2.43}$$

where p and q are both primes greater than 2. Extensive numerical investigations support Montgomery's conjecture, an informative survey of results is given in [Odl01].

In [GM87] an equivalence was established, relating fluctuations about the leading term given by the prime number theorem over short intervals to Montgomery's conjecture. Assuming the Riemann hypothesis it was shown that

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T) \sim \frac{T\log T}{2\pi} \tag{1.2.44}$$

uniformly for $T \leq X \leq T^A$ for any fixed $A \geq 1$,

$$\int_{1\leq x\leq X} \left(\sum_{x<n\leq(1+\delta)x} \Lambda(n) - \delta x \right)^2 dx \sim \frac{1}{2}\delta X^2 \log\frac{1}{\delta} \tag{1.2.45}$$

uniformly for $X^{-1} \leq \delta \leq X^{-\epsilon}$ for every fixed $\epsilon > 0$, and

$$\int_{0\leq x\leq X} \left(\sum_{x<n\leq x+h} \Lambda(n) - h \right)^2 dx \sim hX \log\frac{X}{h} \tag{1.2.46}$$

uniformly for $1 \leq h \leq X^{1-\epsilon}$ for every fixed $\epsilon > 0$, are all equivalent to one another. Making an assumption on the form of the error term in the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture for finite N, in [MS04] (1.2.46) was shown to follow with the lower order terms $-hX(\gamma + \log 2\pi) + O\left(h^{15/16}X(\log X)^{17/16} + h^2X^{1/2+\epsilon}\right)$ uniformly for $\log X \leq h \leq X^{1/2}$ and some $\epsilon > 0$, where γ is Euler's constant, with no assumptions made on the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. In fact, assuming a k-tuple form of the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture with the same assumption on the error term it was shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{0\leq x\leq X} \left(\sum_{x<n\leq x+h} \Lambda(n) - h \right)^k dx \\
=&\mu_k h^{k/2} \int_{1\leq x\leq X} (\log x/h + 1 - \gamma - \log 2\pi)^{k/2}\, dx \\
&+ O(X(h\log X)^{k/2}(h/\log X)^{-1/(8k)} + h^k X^{1/2+\epsilon})
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2.47}$$

uniformly for $\log X \leq h \leq X^{1/k}$ and some $\epsilon > 0$, where

$$\mu_k = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\prod_{0\leq j\leq(k-2)/2} (2j+1) & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{1.2.48}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, are the centralised moments of a standard normal distribution.

Assuming the lower order terms given in [MS04] to (1.2.46), in [Cha03] the arguments in [GM87] were refined, leading to a more precise expression for the conjectural behaviour of $\mathcal{F}(X, T)$,

$$\mathcal{F}(X, T) = \frac{T}{2\pi}\log\frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + o(T) \tag{1.2.49}$$

uniformly for $T^{1+\epsilon} \leq X \leq T^A$ for every fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $A \geq 1 + \epsilon$. A more precise form of Montgomery's conjecture was first given in [BK96a], expressed via the 2-point correlation for the zeros of the Riemann zeta function,

$$R_2(x,T) = \langle d(t+x_1)d(t+x_2)\rangle_T\,, \tag{1.2.50}$$

where the mean and oscillating contribution to the density of Riemann zeros are given by

$$d^{mean}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{|t|}{2\pi} \tag{1.2.51}$$

and

$$d^{osc}(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{1/2}}\cos(t\log n) \tag{1.2.52}$$

respectively. As exposited in [Kea99], the diagonal contribution is controlled by the behaviour of the Riemann zeta function on the line 1-line, $s = 1 + iw$ with $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting (1.2.51) and (1.2.52) into (1.2.50) gives the analogue of (1.1.7) for the Riemann zeta function,

$$R_2(x,T) = (d^{mean}(T))^2 + R_2^d(x,T) + R_2^o(x,T), \tag{1.2.53}$$

where

$$R_2^d(x,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{(\Lambda(n))^2}{n}\cos(x\log n) \tag{1.2.54}$$

and

$$R_2^o(x,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{n\geq 1,\, r\neq 0}\frac{\Lambda(n+r)\Lambda(n)}{n}\cos(x\log n - Tr/n). \tag{1.2.55}$$

The diagonal contribution may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^d(x,T) &= \frac{\Re}{2\pi^2}\sum_{\substack{p\\ k\geq 1}}\frac{(\log p)^2}{p^{(1+ix)k}} \\ &= \frac{\Re}{2\pi^2}\left(\sum_{\substack{p\\ k\geq 1}}\frac{k(\log p)^2}{p^{(1+ix)k}} - \sum_{\substack{p\\ k\geq 1}}\frac{(k-1)(\log p)^2}{p^{(1+ix)k}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.56}$$

Evaluating the logarithm of the conditionally convergent Euler product on the 1-line gives

$$\sum_{\substack{p \\ k\geq 1}} \frac{1}{kp^k} \exp(-ikw \log p), \tag{1.2.57}$$

resulting in

$$R_2^d(x,T) = -\frac{\Re}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{d^2}{dw^2} \log \zeta(1+iw) + \sum_{\substack{p \\ k\geq 0}} (\log p)^2 k p^{-(1+ix)(k+1)} \right)_{w=x}. \tag{1.2.58}$$

The off-diagonal contribution may be determined by making use of the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture, and was shown by Bogomolny and Keating to be given by

$$R_2^o(x,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} |\zeta(1+ix)|^2 \Re \left(\exp(2\pi i x d^{mean}(T)) \prod_p \left(1 - \left(\frac{1-p^{ix}}{p-1} \right)^2 \right) \right). \tag{1.2.59}$$

An alternative route to the 2-point correlation was given in [CS07]. Assuming the Riemann hypothesis Conrey and Snaith made the conjecture that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m,n\geq 1} f(\gamma(m) - \gamma(n)) 1_{0<\gamma(m),\gamma(n)<T} \\ &= \int_{0\leq t\leq T, -T\leq x\leq T} \left(d^{mean}(t)\delta(x) + R_2(x,t) \right) f(x)\, dxdt \\ &\quad + O\left(T^{1/2+\epsilon}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.60}$$

treating the integral as principal value near $x = 0$, for some $\epsilon > 0$ and holomorphic function in the strip $|\Im(s)| < 2$, where $f(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $f(-y) = f(y)$ and $f(y) \ll (1+y^2)^{-1}$ as $y \to \infty$. The diagonal and off-diagonal contributions to the 2-point correlation are then given by

$$R_2^d(x,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\left(\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)' (1+ix) - \sum_p \left(\frac{\log p}{p^{1+ix}-1} \right)^2 \right) \tag{1.2.61}$$

and

$$R_2^o(x,T) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \exp(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T)) |\zeta(1+ix)|^2 \prod_p \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+ix}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{p^{1+ix}}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2}. \tag{1.2.62}$$

This was found by first forming an expression for

$$\int_{0\leq t\leq T} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+it\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\beta-it\right)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma+it\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\delta-it\right)}\,dt \tag{1.2.63}$$

and then differentiating with respect to 2 of the complex shifts along the critical line so as to utilise the residue theorem. Integrals over ratios of zeta functions with shifts, (1.2.63), were first considered by Farmer in [Far93] and subsequently evaluated by Conrey, Farmer and Zirnbauer in [CFZ08] by replacing the functions on the numerator by

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{1}{n^s}+X(s)\frac{1}{n^{1-s}}\right) \tag{1.2.64}$$

and those on the denominator by

$$\left(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}\right)^{-1}, \tag{1.2.65}$$

where s is the argument of the function and μ is the Möbius function, a multiplicative function given by

$$\mu(n)=\begin{cases}(-1)^k & \text{if } n \text{ has } k\geq 0 \text{ distinct prime factors}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{1.2.66}$$

Dropping the highly oscillatory terms when taking the integral, namely terms containing just 1 factor of $X(s)$ and the off-diagonal terms, the integral over the ratios of Riemann zeta functions was then shown to yield

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{0\leq t\leq T}\frac{\zeta(1+\alpha+\beta)\zeta(1+\gamma+\delta)}{\zeta(1+\alpha+\delta)\zeta(1+\beta+\gamma)}A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)\,dt\\ &+\int_{0\leq t\leq T}\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha-\beta}\frac{\zeta(1-\alpha-\beta)\zeta(1+\gamma+\delta)}{\zeta(1-\beta+\delta)\zeta(1-\alpha+\gamma)}A(-\beta,-\alpha,\gamma,\delta)\,dt\end{aligned} \tag{1.2.67}$$

after applying this recipe, where

$$A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)=\prod_p\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\gamma+\delta}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\beta+\gamma}}-\frac{1}{p^{1+\alpha+\delta}}+\frac{1}{p^{1+\gamma+\delta}}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\beta+\gamma}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\alpha+\delta}}\right)}, \tag{1.2.68}$$

this procedure is followed in the next chapter for the Selberg class.

In analogy with (1.1.16) and (1.1.17), rescaling gives

$$\tilde{R}_2^d(x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{R_2^d(x/d^{mean}(t), t)}{(d^{mean}(t))^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 x^2} \tag{1.2.69}$$

and similarly

$$\tilde{R}_2^o(x) = \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{2\pi^2 x^2}, \tag{1.2.70}$$

together giving the rescaled 2-point correlation as

$$\tilde{R}_2(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}\right)^2. \tag{1.2.71}$$

In [Kea93] this universal expression was shown by Keating to follow from knowledge of the asymptotic behaviour of the function in the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture, (1.2.43), also deduced in [Kea93]. Asymptotically as $|r| \to \infty$ the typical behaviour is given by $1 - \frac{1}{2|r|}$, which is to be interpreted as

$$\frac{1}{2|r|} \sum_{|R|\leq|r|} \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n\leq N} \Lambda(n+R)\Lambda(n) \sim 1 - \frac{\log|r|}{2|r|} \text{ as } |r| \to \infty. \tag{1.2.72}$$

Considering analogues of the action correlation statistics, (1.1.19) and (1.1.21) for the Riemann zeta function the $-\frac{1}{2|r|}$ term in the averaged Hardy-Littlewood conjecture was recovered in [ADDea93] by assuming Montgomery's conjecture, (1.2.71), specifically by inverting the off-diagonal contribution given by (1.2.70). In the next chapter (1.2.71) is found to be the rescaled 2-point correlation for the Selberg class. This universal behaviour of the 2-point correlation is then used to generalise the inversion calculation performed in [ADDea93].

Dirichlet L-functions

Named in honour of Dirichlet, the series defining these L-functions generalises that of the Riemann zeta function,

$$L(s, \chi_q) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\chi_q(n)}{n^s} \text{ for } \Re(s) > 1, \tag{1.2.73}$$

where the coefficients in the numerator are multiplicative characters,

$$\chi_q(mn) = \chi_q(m)\chi_q(n) \,\forall m \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.2.74}$$

with periodicity q,

$$\chi_q(n+q) = \chi_q(n) \, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.2.75}$$

It is stipulated that $\chi(1) = 1$ so as to avoid characters from being identically equal to zero, however, if the greatest common divisor of n and q, (n, q), is not 1 then the Dirichlet character is equal to zero, and conversely $\chi_q(n) \neq 0 \Rightarrow (n, q) = 1$.

The Riemann zeta function is generated from the principal character,

$$\chi_q^p(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (n,q) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{1.2.76}$$

where

$$L(s; \chi_q^p) = \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \zeta(s), \tag{1.2.77}$$

which follows from the Euler product representation

$$L(s; \chi_q) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi_q(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \text{ for } \Re(s) > 1. \tag{1.2.78}$$

Given a Dirichlet character of period $q|Q$, the multiplicative function,

$$\begin{cases} \chi_q(n) & \text{if } (n,Q) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

is an induced Dirichlet character. If a Dirichlet character is primitive, that is to say it is not induced from another Dirichlet character, and if it is also non-principal, then (1.2.73) may be continued to an analytic function on the whole complex plane.

Furthermore, there is a functional equation,

$$L(s, \chi_q) = \frac{i^{a(\chi_q)} q^{1/2}}{\tau(\chi_q^*)} \left(\frac{\pi}{q}\right)^{s-1/2} \frac{\Gamma((1 - s + a(\chi_q))/2)}{\Gamma((s + a(\chi_q))/2)} L(1 - s, \chi_q^*), \tag{1.2.79}$$

where $\tau(\chi_q)$ is the Gauss sum,

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq q} \chi_q(n) \exp(2\pi i n/q), \tag{1.2.80}$$

and

$$a(\chi_q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi_q(-1) = 1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.2.81}$$

From the Euler product together with the multiplicative property of the characters,

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi_q) = -\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\chi_q(n)\Lambda(n)}{n^s} \text{ for } \Re(s) > 1, \tag{1.2.82}$$

giving the generalised von Mangoldt function associated to a Dirichlet L-function,

$$\Lambda(n, \chi_q) = \chi_q(n)\Lambda(n). \tag{1.2.83}$$

The mean and oscillating contribution to the density of zeros of Dirichlet L-functions are then given by

$$d^{mean}(t; \chi_q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{q|t|}{2\pi} \tag{1.2.84}$$

and

$$d^{osc}(t; \chi_q) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n, \chi_q)}{n^{1/2}} \exp(it \log n) + cc \tag{1.2.85}$$

respectively.

In [BK13] Bogomolny and Keating used the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture applied to primes in arithmetic progressions to deduce the 2-point correlation,

$$R_2(x, T; \chi_q) = \langle d(t + x_1; \chi_q) d(t + x_2; \chi_q) \rangle_T \,, \tag{1.2.86}$$

showing that

$$R_2^d(x, T; \chi_q) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \log(|\zeta(1 + ix)|^2 \Phi^d(x) \Psi(x, q)), \tag{1.2.87}$$

where

$$\Phi^d(x) = \prod_p \exp\left(2 \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1 - n}{n^2 p^n} \cos(nx \log p) \right) \tag{1.2.88}$$

and

$$\Psi(x, q) = \exp\left(- \sum_{p|q, n\geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2 p^n} \exp(inx \log p) + cc \right). \tag{1.2.89}$$

Also

$$R_2^o(x,T;\chi_q) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\exp(ix\log(Tq/(2\pi))|\zeta(1+ix)|^2\Phi^o(x)\Psi^o(x,q)+cc, \quad (1.2.90)$$

where

$$\Phi^o(x) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{(p^{ix}-1)^2}{(p-1)^2}\right) \quad (1.2.91)$$

and

$$\Psi^o(x,q) = \prod_{p|q}\left(1 + \frac{(p^{ix/2}-p^{-ix/2})^2}{p-p^{-ix}}\right)^{-1}. \quad (1.2.92)$$

In the rescaled limit this recovers the universal form (1.2.71), which was deduced earlier by Bogomolny and Lebœuf in [BL94] by using the method in [Kea93], applying the averaged form of the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture for primes in arithmetic progressions.

From the functional equation it may be seen that Dirichlet L-functions are degree 1 L-functions, examples of degree 2 L-functions will now be given.

Degree 2 : L-functions associated to the Ramanujan tau function and elliptic curves

The Ramanujan tau L-function is constructed from a modular form of weight 12, denoted by Δ,

$$\Delta\left(\frac{as+b}{cs+d}\right) = (cs+d)^{12}\Delta(s) \quad (1.2.93)$$

for all integers a, b, c and d with $ad-bc=1$. The Ramanujan tau values are defined implicitly through

$$x\prod_{n\geq 1}(1-x^n)^{24} = \sum_{n\geq 1}\tau(n)x^n \quad (1.2.94)$$

and are the Fourier coefficients of

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\tau(n)\exp(2\pi inz). \quad (1.2.95)$$

The L-function associated to the Ramanujan tau function, for $\Re(s) > 1$, is given by

$$L(s;\Delta) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\lambda(n;\Delta)}{n^s}, \quad (1.2.96)$$

where $\lambda(n;\Delta)$ is the nth normalised coefficient,

$$\lambda(n;\Delta) = \frac{\tau(n)}{n^{11/2}}. \tag{1.2.97}$$

For $\Re(s) > 1$ there is also the Euler product

$$L(s;\Delta) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p;\Delta)}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-1}, \tag{1.2.98}$$

which may be written in the form

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p;\Delta)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^*(p;\Delta)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \tag{1.2.99}$$

with $\alpha(p;\Delta) = \exp(i\theta(p;\Delta))$ for real $\theta(p;\Delta)$. There is a functional equation,

$$L(s,\Delta) = \pi^{2s-1}\frac{\Gamma((1-s)/2 + 11/4)\Gamma((1-s)/2 + 13/4)}{\Gamma(s/2 + 11/4)\Gamma(s/2 + 13/4)} L(1-s,\Delta), \tag{1.2.100}$$

which shows that this is a degree 2 L-function.

Another example of a degree 2 L-function is given by associating an L-function to an elliptic curve,

$$E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6, \tag{1.2.101}$$

which may be specified more succinctly by specifying its Weierstrass coefficients, $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$. Associated to such an elliptic curve is a modular form, the normalised coefficients of which, $\lambda(n;E)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, generate the L-function,

$$L(s;E) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n;E)}{n^s}, \tag{1.2.102}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$. As for the Ramanujan tau case there is an Euler product which may be written in the form

$$L(s;E) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p;E)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p;E)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \tag{1.2.103}$$

where

$$\alpha(p;E) = \begin{cases} \exp(i\theta(p;E)) & \text{if } p \nmid N \\ \lambda(p;E) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{1.2.104}$$

and

$$\beta(p;E) = \begin{cases} \exp(-i\theta(p;E)) & \text{if } p \nmid N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{1.2.105}$$

with

$$\lambda(p;E) = 2\cos(\theta(p;E)), \tag{1.2.106}$$

where N is the conductor of the elliptic curve and $\theta(p;E)$ a real parameter. The functional equation for these degree 2 L-functions is given by

$$L(s,E) = \left(\frac{\pi}{N^{1/2}}\right)^{2s-1} \frac{\Gamma((1-s)/2+1/4)\Gamma((1-s)/2+3/4)}{\Gamma(s/2+1/4)\Gamma(s/2+3/4)} L(s,E). \tag{1.2.107}$$

1.3 Outline of results in this thesis

Chapter 2 contains a heuristic study of the correlations of non-trivial zeros of functions in the Selberg class and the consequences for correlations of generalised von Mangoldt functions in the limiting universal case, and specifically for the von Mangoldt function in the non-universal case for the Riemann zeta function:

1.3.1 Heuristic 1

The 2-point correlation for non-trivial zeros of functions in the Selberg class,

$$R_2(x,T;F) = \langle d(t+x_1;F)d(t+x_2;F)\rangle_T\,,$$

is given by

$$R_2(x,T;F) = R_2^d(x,T;F) + R_2^o(x,T;F),$$

with

$$R_2^d(x,T;F) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\left(\frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})'}{(F\otimes\overline{F})}\right)'(1+ix) - B(ix;F)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^o(x,T;F) =& \frac{1}{2\pi^2(r(F\otimes\overline{F})^2}(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+ix)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-ix) \\ & \exp\left(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T;F)\right) A(ix;F), \end{aligned}$$

where $r(F \otimes \overline{F})$ is the residue of the pole of $F \otimes \overline{F}$ at $s = 1$,

$$B(ix; F) = \sum_p (\log p)^2 \left(- \sum_{h+m=k+n} \frac{a(p^m; F) a^*(p^n; F) \mu(p^h; F) \mu^*(p^k; F) mn}{p^{(n+k)(1+ix)}} + \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{l^3 |b(p^l; F)|^2}{p^{l(1+ix)}} \right)$$

and

$$A(ix; F) = \prod_p \left(\sum_{h+m=k+n} \frac{a(p^m; F) a^*(p^n; F) \mu(p^h; F) \mu^*(p^k; F)}{p^{-rm+n+(1+ix)k}} \right) \exp\left(\sum_{l \geq 1} l |b(p^l; F)|^2 \left(\frac{2}{p^l} - \frac{1}{p^{l(1-ix)}} - \frac{1}{p^{l(1+ix)}} \right) \right).$$

1.3.2 Heuristic 2

The smoothed correlation statistic,

$$\tilde{C}(r; F) = \int (d^{mean}(T))^2 \tilde{R}_2^o(x d^{mean}(T); F) \exp(irT)\, dx dT,$$

where

$$\tilde{R}_2^o(x; F) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{R_2^o(x / d^{mean}(T; F), T; F)}{(d^{mean}(T; F))^2},$$

is given asymptotically as $|r| \to \infty$ by

$$-\frac{\deg(F)}{\pi r} \mathrm{Si}(r).$$

1.3.3 Heuristic 3

The correlation statistic for the Riemann zeta function case,

$$C(r) = \int R_2^o(x, T) \exp(irT)\, dx dT,$$

is given by the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture,

$$\begin{cases} 2 \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \prod_{q|r} \frac{q-1}{q-2} & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where p and q are both primes greater than 2.

In chapter 3 the implications of the distribution of non-trivial zeros of functions in the Selberg class on the variance of generalised summatory von Mangoldt functions over short intervals are given:

1.3.4 Theorem 1

If $1 < A_1 < A_2 < \deg(F)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T;F) = \frac{T\log X}{\pi} + O\left(T^{1-c}\right)$$

uniformly for $T^{A_1} \ll X \ll T^{A_2}$ for some $c > 0$, then for any fixed $1/A_2 < B_1 \leq B_2 < 1/A_1$

$$\begin{aligned} Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) &= \frac{1}{6}\delta X(3\log X - 4\log 2) + O(\delta^{1+c/2}X) \\ &+ O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_1})^{-2A_1/(4A_1+1)}) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_2})^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_2} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$.

1.3.5 Theorem 2

If $\deg(F) < A_1 < A_2$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T;F) \sim \frac{T}{\pi}\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{T}{2\pi} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) - \deg(F)\right) + O\left(T^{1-c}\right)$$

uniformly for $T^{A_1} \ll X \ll T^{A_2}$ for some $c > 0$, then for any fixed $1/A_2 < B_1 \leq B_2 < 1/A_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) \\ =&\frac{1}{2}\delta(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (1-\gamma-\log 2\pi)\deg(F)) \\ &+ O(\delta^{1+c/2}X) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_2})^{1/2}) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_2})^{-2A_1/(4A_1+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_2} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$.

1.3.6 Theorem 3

If $1/\deg(F) < B_1 < B_2 \leq B_3 < 1$ and

$$Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) = \frac{1}{6}\delta X(3\log X - 4\log 2) + O(\delta^{1+c}X)$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_3} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Var^{fix}(X,h;F) &= \frac{1}{6}h(6\log X - (3+8\log 2)) \\ &+ O_\epsilon(hX^\epsilon(h/X)^{c/3}) + O_\epsilon(hX^\epsilon(hX^{-(1-B_1)})^{1/(3(1-B_1))}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $X^{1-B_3} \ll h \ll X^{1-B_2}$.

1.3.7 Theorem 4

If $0 < B_1 < B_2 \leq B_3 < 1/\deg(F)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) =& \frac{1}{2}\delta X\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} - \deg(F)(\log 2\pi + \gamma - 1) + \log\mathfrak{q}(F)\right) \\ &+ O\left(\delta^{1+c}X\right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_3} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Var^{fix}(X,h;F) =& h\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{X}{h} - \deg(F)(\log 2\pi + \gamma) + \log\mathfrak{q}(F)\right) \\ &+ O_\epsilon\left(hX^\epsilon(h/X)^{c/3}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(hX^{1-(B_2-B_1)/3(1-B_1)+\epsilon}\right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $X^{1-B_3} \ll h \ll X^{1-B_2}$.

1.3.8 Remarks

Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 are valid only when the degree of the function in the Selberg class considered is greater than 1. When the degree is equal to 1 the behaviour of the variance first studied by Goldston and Montgomery in [GM87] and later refined by Chan in [Cha03] is recovered, as given by Theorem 2 and Theorem 4. The degree 1 case is therefore a special case of the more general variance which does not exhibit the change in behaviour for h of

order $X^{1-1/\deg(F)}$. Data exhibiting this phenomenom is plotted at the end of chapter 3 and the relation to Heuristic 2 and Heuristic 3 is discussed in the concluding chapter.

Chapter 2

Zero statistics and arithmetic correlations associated to L-functions

In this chapter the 2-point correlation for the Selberg class is calculated by using the method described by Conrey and Snaith in [CS07]. The limiting form of this statistic is then used to generalise the work in [ADDea93] from the case of the Riemann zeta function to the whole Selberg class and then specifically for the Riemann zeta function case the heuristics are applied to recover the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture by inverting the 2-point correlation for this case.

2.1 The 2-point correlation statistic for the Selberg class

Substituting the density of Selberg class zeros, (1.2.15) and (1.2.16), into the 2-point correlation,

$$R_2(x,T;F) = \langle d(t+x_1;F)d(t+x_2;F)\rangle_T\,, \tag{2.1.1}$$

gives the analogue of the expression for the 2-point correlation for the system D, (1.1.7), now applied to the Selberg class for a generic function F contained therein,

$$R_2(x,T;F) = (d^{mean}(T;F))^2 + R_2^d(x,T;F) + R_2^o(x,T;F), \tag{2.1.2}$$

where

$$R_2^d(x,T;F) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{|\Lambda(n;F)|^2}{n}\cos(x\log n) \tag{2.1.3}$$

and

$$R_2^o(x,T;F) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\sum_{n\geq 1,\, r\neq 0}\frac{\Lambda(n+r;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)}{n}\exp(i(x\log n - Tr/n)) + cc. \tag{2.1.4}$$

The diagonal and off-diagonal contributions are now indirectly calculated by following the recipe described in the introduction for the Riemann zeta function case.

The integral over the ratios of functions in the Selberg class,

$$\int_{|t|\leq T}\frac{F\left(\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+it\right)\overline{F}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\beta-it\right)}{F\left(\frac{1}{2}+\gamma+it\right)\overline{F}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\delta-it\right)}\,dt, \tag{2.1.5}$$

is evaluated by replacing functions in the numerator by

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{a(n;F)}{n^s} + X(s;F)\frac{a^*(n;F)}{n^{1-s}}\right) \tag{2.1.6}$$

and those in the denominator by

$$\left(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\mu(n;F)}{n^s}\right)^{-1}, \tag{2.1.7}$$

where s is the argument of the function. The values of the multiplicative function $\mu(n;F)$ are defined implicitly as the coefficients for the reciprocal of $F(s)$,

$$\frac{1}{F}(s) = \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\mu(n;F)}{n^s}. \tag{2.1.8}$$

Making the substitutions results in

$$\int_{|t|\leq T} \frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+\alpha+\beta)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+\gamma+\delta)}{(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+\alpha+\delta)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+\beta+\gamma)} A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;F)\,dt$$
$$+\int_{|t|\leq T}\left(\mathfrak{q}(F)\left(\frac{|t|}{2\pi}\right)^{\deg(F)}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} \frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-\beta-\alpha)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+\gamma+\delta)}{(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-\beta+\delta)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-\alpha+\gamma)}$$
$$A(-\beta,-\alpha,\gamma,\delta;F)\,dt, \tag{2.1.9}$$

where

$$A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;F)=\prod_p\left(\sum_{h+m=k+n}\frac{a(p^m;F)a^*(p^n;F)\mu(p^h;F)\mu^*(p^k;F)}{p^{(1/2+\alpha)m+(1/2+\beta)n+(1/2+\gamma)h+(1/2+\delta)k}}\right)$$
$$\exp\left(\sum_{l\geq 1} l|b(p^l;F)|^2\left(\frac{1}{p^{l(1+\alpha+\delta)}}+\frac{1}{p^{l(1+\beta+\gamma)}}-\frac{1}{p^{l(1+\alpha+\beta)}}-\frac{1}{p^{l(1+\gamma+\delta)}}\right)\right). \tag{2.1.10}$$

The factor appearing in the second term of the integral follows from the identity

$$X(1/2+it+\alpha;F)\overline{X}(1/2-it+\beta;F)=\left(\mathfrak{q}(F)\left(\frac{|t|}{2\pi}\right)^{\deg(F)}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)}+O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right). \tag{2.1.11}$$

Differentiating (2.1.9) with respect to α and β and then setting $\gamma=\alpha$ and $\delta=\beta$ gives an expression for a product of shifted logarithmic derivatives,

$$\int_{t\leq|T|}\frac{F'}{F}(1/2+it+\alpha)\frac{\overline{F}'}{\overline{F}}(1/2-it+\beta)\,dt$$
$$\sim\int_{t\leq|T|}\left(\frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})'}{(F\otimes\overline{F})}\right)'(1+\alpha+\beta)\,dt$$
$$+\int_{t\leq|T|}\frac{1}{(r(F\otimes\overline{F}))^2}\left(\mathfrak{q}(F)\left(\frac{|t|}{2\pi}\right)^{\deg(F)}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} A(-\beta,-\alpha,\alpha,\beta;F)$$
$$(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-\alpha-\beta)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+\alpha+\beta)\,dt$$
$$+\int_{t\leq|T|}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\alpha\partial\beta}A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)|_{\gamma=\alpha,\delta=\beta}\,dt, \tag{2.1.12}$$

where $r(F\otimes\overline{F})$ is the residue of the simple pole of $F\otimes\overline{F}$ at $s=1$, which enters upon applying the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}\frac{g(\alpha,\gamma)}{(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-\alpha+\gamma)}|_{\gamma=\alpha}=\frac{g(\alpha,\alpha)}{r(F\otimes\overline{F})} \tag{2.1.13}$$

for a function, g, analytic at (α, α).

As in the introduction, a function f is taken which is holomorphic in the strip $|\Im(s)| < 2$, where $f(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $f(-y) = f(y)$ and $f(y) \ll (1+y^2)^{-1}$ as $y \to \infty$. Assuming the generalisation of Riemann's hypothesis for the Selberg class the sum

$$\sum_{m,n\geq 1} f(t(m) - t(n)) 1_{|t(m)|,|t(n)|\leq T} \tag{2.1.14}$$

is now evaluated by means of the residue theorem,

$$\sum_{m,n\geq 1} f(t(m) - t(n)) 1_{|t(m)|,|t(n)|\leq T} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathcal{C},\mathcal{C}} \frac{F'}{F}(u) \frac{F'}{F}(v) f(-i(u-v))\, dudv, \tag{2.1.15}$$

where $1/2 < a < 1$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a positively oriented rectangle with vertices at $1-a-iT$, $a-iT$, $a+iT$ and $1-a+iT$.

The integrals with contours passing through $1-a$ may be reflected by use of the functional equation, in particular taking the logarithmic derivative,

$$\frac{F'}{F}(s) = \frac{X'}{X}(s;F) - \frac{\overline{F}'}{\overline{F}}(1-s), \tag{2.1.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X'}{X}(s;F) =& -2\log Q - \sum_{1\leq j\leq r} \lambda_j \left(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\lambda_j(1-s) + \mu_j^*) \right) \\ =& -2\pi d^{mean}(T;F) + O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.17}$$

The integral with the contour passing through a and $1-a$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{a-iT}^{a+iT} \int_{1-a-iT}^{1-a+iT} \frac{F'}{F}(u) \frac{F'}{F}(v) f(-i(u-v))\, dudv \\ =& \frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-2T-i(1-2a)}^{2T-i(1-2a)} f(\eta) \int_{a-iT_1}^{a+iT_2} \frac{F'}{F}(v) \frac{F'}{F}(v+i\eta)\, dvd\eta, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.18}$$

where $T_1 = \min\{T, T+\Re(\eta)\}$ and $T_2 = \min\{T, T-\Re(\eta)\}$. Making use of the functional equation then gives

$$-\frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-2T-i(1-2a)}^{2T-i(1-2a)} f(\eta) \int_{a-iT_1}^{a+iT_2} \frac{F'}{F}(v) \frac{\overline{F}'}{\overline{F}}(1-v-i\eta)\, dvd\eta \tag{2.1.19}$$

with a contribution of $O_\epsilon(T^\epsilon)$ coming from the $\frac{X'}{X}$ term after moving the contour to the right of 1. The main contribution is therefore

$$\int_{-2T-i(1-2a)}^{2T-i(1-2a)} f(\eta) \int_{-T_1\le t\le T_2} \frac{F'}{F}(a+it)\frac{\overline{F}'}{\overline{F}}(1-a-it-i\eta)\,dtd\eta. \tag{2.1.20}$$

Using (2.1.12), the inner integrand then yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})'}{(F\otimes\overline{F})}\right)'(1+\alpha+\beta)\\
+\frac{1}{(r(F\otimes\overline{F}))^2}&\left(\mathfrak{q}(F)\left(\frac{|t|}{2\pi}\right)^{\deg(F)}\right)^{-(\alpha+\beta)} A(-\beta,-\alpha,\alpha,\beta;F)\\
&(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-\alpha-\beta)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+\alpha+\beta),\\
&+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\alpha\partial\beta}A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;F)|_{\gamma=\alpha,\delta=\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.21}$$

where $\alpha = a-1/2$ and $\beta = 1/2-a-i\eta$. Setting these values then gives

$$A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;F) = A(i\eta;F), \tag{2.1.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A(s;F) =&\prod_p\left(\sum_{h+m=k+n}\frac{a(p^m;F)a^*(p^n;F)\mu(p^h;F)\mu^*(p^k;F)}{p^{-sm+n+(1+s)k}}\right)\\
&\exp\left(\sum_{l\ge1} l|b(p^l;F)|^2\left(\frac{2}{p^l}-\frac{1}{p^{l(1-s)}}-\frac{1}{p^{l(1+s)}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.23}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\alpha\partial\beta}A(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta;F)|_{\gamma=\alpha,\delta=\beta} = -B(i\eta;F), \tag{2.1.24}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
B(s;F) =&\sum_p(\log p)^2\left(-\sum_{h+m=k+n}\frac{a(p^m;F)a^*(p^n;F)\mu(p^h;F)\mu^*(p^k;F)mn}{p^{(n+k)(1+s)}}\right.\\
&\left.+\sum_{l\ge1}\frac{l^3|b(p^l;F)|^2}{p^{l(1+s)}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.25}$$

Extending the inner region of integration results in

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{|t|\le T}\int_{-2T-i(1-2a)}^{2T-i(1-2a)} f(\eta)g(\eta,t)\,d\eta dt, \tag{2.1.26}$$

incurring an error of $O(T^\epsilon)$, where

$$g(\eta,t)=\left(\frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})'}{(F\otimes\overline{F})}\right)'(1-i\eta)+\frac{1}{(r(F\otimes\overline{F}))^2}\left(\mathfrak{q}(F)\left(\frac{|t|}{2\pi}\right)^{\deg(F)}\right)^{i\eta}$$
$$A(-i\eta;F)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-i\eta)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+i\eta)-B(-i\eta;F). \quad (2.1.27)$$

As $\eta\to 0$

$$g(\eta,t)=\frac{2\pi i}{\eta}d^{mean}(t;F)+O(1), \quad (2.1.28)$$

moving the path of integration of the inner integral to the real line then passes through 0, giving the principal value

$$\frac{f(0)}{2}\int_{|t|\le T}d^{mean}(t;F)\,dt+\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{|t|\le T,|\eta|\le 2T}f(\eta)g(-\eta,t)\,d\eta dt. \quad (2.1.29)$$

The integral with both contours passing through $1-a$ proceeds similarly except the functional equation is used for both of the logarithmic derivatives appearing in the integrand. The main contribution is given by

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\int_{|v|\le T,|u|\le T}\frac{X'}{X}(1/2+iu)\frac{X'}{X}(1/2+iv)f(u-v)\,dudv, \quad (2.1.30)$$

where an error of $O(T^\epsilon)$ is incurred by moving contours involving the logarithmic derivative of F to the right of 1 and those involving the logarithmic derivative of X to the 1/2 line. Using the even property of f then gives

$$\begin{aligned}&2\int_{|v|\le T,v\le u\le T}d^{mean}(u;F)d^{mean}(v;F)f(u-v)\,dudv\\ =&2\int_{0\le\eta\le 2T,-T\le t\le T-\eta}d^{mean}(t+\eta;F)d^{mean}(t;F)\,dtd\eta. \quad (2.1.31)\end{aligned}$$

Incurring an error term of $(\log T)^3$ the integral is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&2\int_{0\le\eta\le T,|t|\le T}f(\eta)(d^{mean}(t;F))^2\,dtd\eta\\ =&\int_{|t|\le T,|\eta|\le 2T}f(\eta)(d^{mean}(t;F))^2\,d\eta dt. \quad (2.1.32)\end{aligned}$$

The remaining integral gives a contribution of $O(T^\epsilon)$, therefore in total the recipe gives the 2-point correlation, $R_2(x,T;F)=R_2^d(x,T;F)+R_2^o(x,T;F)$, with

$$R_2^d(x,T;F)=\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\left(\frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})'}{(F\otimes\overline{F})}\right)'(1+ix)-B(ix;F) \quad (2.1.33)$$

and

$$R_2^o(x,T;F) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2(r(F\otimes\overline{F})^2}(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+ix)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1-ix) \exp\left(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T;F)\right) A(ix;F). \tag{2.1.34}$$

For $x \to 0$

$$\left(\frac{(F\otimes\overline{F})'}{(F\otimes\overline{F})}\right)'(1+ix) = -\frac{1}{x^2} + O(1), \tag{2.1.35}$$

$$B(ix;F) = O(1), \tag{2.1.36}$$

$$(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+ix)(F\otimes\overline{F})(1+ix) = \frac{(r(F\otimes\overline{F}))^2}{x^2} + O(1) \tag{2.1.37}$$

and

$$A(ix;F) = 1 + O(x^2). \tag{2.1.38}$$

Rescaling the 2-point correlation then once more gives the universal expressions

$$\tilde{R}_2^d(x;F) = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{R_2^d(x/d^{mean}(T;F),T;F)}{(d^{mean}(T;F))^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2x^2} \tag{2.1.39}$$

and

$$\tilde{R}_2^o(x;F) = \frac{\cos 2\pi x}{2\pi^2x^2}. \tag{2.1.40}$$

2.2 Inverting the limiting form of the 2-point correlation statistic for the Selberg class

Assuming for any F there is a function $C(r;F)$, with $C(-r;F) = C^*(r;F)$, that captures the correlations, that is allows the off-diagonal contribution to be expressed as

$$R_2^o(x,T;F) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{n\geq 1} \frac{C(r;F)}{n} \exp(i(x\log n - Tr/n))\, drdn + cc, \tag{2.2.1}$$

then an expression for $C(r;F)$ may be determined by Fourier inversion.

$$\int R_2^o(x,T;F)\,dx = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{n\geq 1}\frac{C(r;F)}{n}\delta(\log n)\exp(-iTr/n)\,drdn + cc \quad (2.2.2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{y\geq 0} C(r;F)\delta(y)\exp(-iTr\exp(-y))\,drdy + cc \quad (2.2.3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int C(r;F)\exp(-iTr)\,dr + cc \quad (2.2.4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int C(r;F)\exp(-iTr)\,dr. \quad (2.2.5)$$

Giving the Fourier transform

$$\mathscr{F}[C(r;F)] = 2\pi\int R_2^o(x,T;F)\,dx, \quad (2.2.6)$$

and by Fourier inversion,

$$C(r;F) = \int R_2^o(x,T;F)\exp(irT)\,dxdT. \quad (2.2.7)$$

The mean density of zeros then necessarily enters the smoothed correlation function

$$\tilde{C}(r;F) = \int (d^{mean}(T))^2\tilde{R}_2^o(xd^{mean}(T);F)\exp(irT)\,dxdT. \quad (2.2.8)$$

The integral over x is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int\frac{1}{x^2}\cos(2\pi x d^{mean}(T))\,dx = \frac{1}{\pi}\int\frac{1}{x^2}\cos(xd^{mean}(T))\,dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi}\mathscr{F}\left[\frac{1}{x^2}\right](d^{mean}(T)). \quad (2.2.9)$$

This may be deduced by considering the Fourier transform of the triangle function

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 1-|x| & \text{if } |x|\leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.2.10)$$

noting that the triangle function may be expressed in terms of the sign function,

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x\leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.2.11)$$

by the linear relation

$$T(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1-x)\text{sgn}(1-x) + \frac{1}{2}(1+x)\text{sgn}(1+x) - x\text{sgn}(x). \tag{2.2.12}$$

Then

$$\mathscr{F}[T](k) = 2\int_{0\leq x\leq 1}(1-x)\cos(kx)\,dx = \left(\text{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\right)^2, \tag{2.2.13}$$

by integrating by parts, giving

$$\begin{aligned}\int\left(\text{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\right)^2\exp(ikx)\,dk =& \pi(1-x)\text{sgn}(1-x)\\ &+\pi(1+x)\text{sgn}(1+x)\\ &-2\pi x\text{sgn}(x)\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.14}$$

by Fourier inversion. Expanding the sinc function in terms of exponential functions then gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int\left(\text{sinc}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\right)^2\exp(ikx)\,dk =& -\mathscr{F}\left[\frac{1}{k^2}\right](1-x)\\ &-\mathscr{F}\left[\frac{1}{k^2}\right](1+x)\\ &+2\mathscr{F}\left[\frac{1}{k^2}\right](x),\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.15}$$

giving the identification

$$\mathscr{F}\left[\frac{1}{x^2}\right](k) = -\pi k\text{sgn}(k). \tag{2.2.16}$$

The smoothed correlation function is then given by another Fourier transform,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}(r;F) &= -\mathscr{F}\left[d^{mean}(T)\text{sgn}(d^{mean}(T))\right](r)\\ &\sim \frac{\deg(F)}{\pi}\int_{0\leq T\leq 1}\log T\cos(rT)\,dT \text{ as } |r|\to\infty\\ &\sim -\frac{\deg(F)}{\pi r}\text{Si}(r) \text{ as } |r|\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.17}$$

2.3 Inverting the 2-point correlation statistic for the Riemann zeta function

The Hardy-Littlewood conjecture is now shown to follow from the expression for the off-diagonal contribution to the 2-point correlation for the case of the Riemann zeta function.

The off-diagonal contribution to the 2-point correlation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^o(x,T) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T))|\zeta(1+ix)|^2\prod_p \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+ix}}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{1+ix}}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T))|\zeta(1+ix)|^2\prod_p \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+ix}}\right)(p^2-2p+p^{1-ix})}{(p-1)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.1}$$

Using the Euler product on the 1-line then gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T))\zeta(1-ix)\prod_p\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^2}+\frac{p^{1-ix}}{(p-1)^2}\right) \\ = \quad & \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T))\zeta(1-ix)\prod_p\left(1+\frac{p^{1-ix}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{1-ix}}\right)}{(p-1)^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.2}$$

Introducing Euler's totient function,

$$\phi(n) = n\prod_{p|n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right), \tag{2.3.3}$$

and the Möbius function, this can then be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T))\zeta(1-ix)\prod_p\left(1+\left(\frac{\mu(p)}{\phi(p)}\right)^2 p^{1-ix}\left(1+\frac{\mu(p)}{p^{1-ix}}\right)\right), \tag{2.3.4}$$

which, by multiplicativity of ϕ and μ yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi i x d^{mean}(T))\zeta(1-ix)\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2 n^{1-ix}\prod_{p|n}\left(1+\frac{\mu(p)}{p^{1-ix}}\right), \tag{2.3.5}$$

where the sum is over squarefree values of n due to the presence of $\mu(n)$. Making use of the multiplicativity of μ once more gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi ixd^{mean}(T))\zeta(1-ix)\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2 n^{1-ix}\sum_{d|n}\frac{\mu(d)}{d^{1-ix}}. \tag{2.3.6}$$

Using now the divergent series representation of the Riemann zeta function on the 1-line results in

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi ixd^{mean}(T))\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2 n^{1-ix}\sum_{m\geq 1,d|n}\frac{\mu(d)}{(md)^{1-ix}}. \tag{2.3.7}$$

Replacing md by l, the sum over m and $d|n$ becomes a sum over l, $d|n$ and $d|l$,

$$\sum_{l\geq 1,d|n,d|l}\frac{\mu(d)}{l^{1-ix}}=\sum_{l\geq 1,d|(l,n)}\frac{\mu(d)}{l^{1-ix}}=\sum_{l\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\frac{1}{l^{1-ix}}, \tag{2.3.8}$$

giving

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi ixd^{mean}(T))\sum_{l,n\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\left(\frac{n}{l}\right)^{1-ix}. \tag{2.3.9}$$

This may be approximated by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\exp(-2\pi ixd^{mean}(T))\sum_{l,n\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\int_{0\leq y\leq T/2\pi}\frac{1}{y^{1-ix}}\delta(y-l/n)\,dy, \tag{2.3.10}$$

where the resulting error is reduced by taking the value of T to be large. Substituting the expression for the mean density and taking the exponential inside the integral then gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{n\geq 1,l\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\int_{0\leq z\leq 1}\frac{1}{z}\exp(ix\log z)\delta(t/(2\pi)z-l/n)\,dz, \tag{2.3.11}$$

after making a change of variables in the integral.

Substituting (2.3.11) for $R_2^o(x,T)$ into (2.2.7) then gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{l,n\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\int\int_{0\leq z\leq 1}\frac{1}{z}\exp\left(ix\log z\right)\delta(T/(2\pi)z-l/n)\,dz$$

$$\exp(irT)\,dxdT. \tag{2.3.12}$$

Integrating over x then introduces a delta function,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{l,n\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\int\int_{0\leq z\leq 1}\frac{1}{z}\delta(T/(2\pi)z-l/n)\delta(\log z)\\&\exp(irt)\,dzdT\\=&\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n\geq 1,l\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\int\int_{w\leq 0}\delta(w)\delta(T/(2\pi)\exp(w)-l/n)\\&\exp(irT)\,dwdT.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.13}$$

Integrating over $w\leq 0$ captures half the mass of the delta function, giving

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{l,n\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\int\delta(T/(2\pi)-l/n)\exp(irT)\,dT\\=&\sum_{l,n\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\int\delta(u-l/n)\exp(2\pi ihu)\,du\\=&\sum_{l,n\geq 1,(l,n)=1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2\exp((2\pi ilr)/n),\end{aligned}\tag{2.3.14}$$

which may be approximated by

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2 c(r,n),\tag{2.3.15}$$

where

$$c(r,n)=\sum_{1\leq l\leq n,(l,n)=1}\exp((2\pi ilr)/n)\tag{2.3.16}$$

is Ramanujan's sum.

Ramanujan sums are multiplicative,

$$c(l,m)c(l,n)=c(l,mn)\tag{2.3.17}$$

if $(m,n)=1$. They also satisfy

$$c(n,p)=\begin{cases}p-1 & \text{if } p|n\\ -1 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\tag{2.3.18}$$

for all primes, p. Using these properties then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n\geq 1}\left(\frac{\mu(n)}{\phi(n)}\right)^2 c(r,n)\\
=&\prod_p\left(1+\left(\frac{\mu(p)}{\phi(p)}\right)^2 c(r,p)\right)\\
=&\begin{cases}2\displaystyle\prod_{p>2}\left(1+\left(\frac{\mu(p)}{\phi(p)}\right)^2 c(r,p)\right) & \text{if } r \text{ is even}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{p>2}\left(1+\left(\frac{\mu(p)}{\phi(p)}\right)^2 c(r,p)\right)\\
=&\prod_{p\nmid r,p>2}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right)\prod_{q|r,q>2}\left(1+\frac{1}{q-1}\right)\\
=&\prod_{p>2}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right)\prod_{q|r,q>2}\left(\frac{q-1}{q-2}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.20}$$

Chapter 3

Generalised primes in short intervals

In this chapter the 2-point correlation derived in the previous chapter is related to fluctuations of the sum of the generalised von Mangoldt function over short intervals. Throughout this chapter the non-trivial zeros of all functions in the Selberg class are assumed to have a real part of 1/2. To begin, the expression is put into a form similar to that used by Murty and Perelli in [MP99]. In particular the test function, $f(z)$, is first given the exponential weight $\cos(z \log X) \exp(-z^2)$. This allows a form similar to Murty and Perelli's function to be deduced,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F) = \sum_{m,n\geq 1} X^{i((t(m;F)-t(n;F))} \exp(-(t(m;F)-t(n;F))^2) 1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq T}. \tag{3.0.1}$$

It is then conjectured that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F)$ is asymptotically equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(X,T;F)$ so that the analysis first performed in [GM87] and then refined in [Cha03] may be followed. A modification to the arguments in [GM87] and [Cha03] is then considered in Appendix C, making use of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F)$ as a pose to $\mathcal{F}(X,T;F)$. In agreement with Murty and Perelli's conjecture made in [MP99], it is conjectured that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F) \sim \mathcal{F}(X,T;F) \sim \frac{T \log X}{\pi} \tag{3.0.2}$$

uniformly for $X \leq T^{\deg(F)}$ and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F) \sim \mathcal{F}(X,T;F) \sim \frac{T}{\pi}\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{T}{2\pi} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) - \deg(F)\right) \tag{3.0.3}$$

uniformly for $X \geq T^{\deg(F)}$.

It is shown that if $1 < A_1 < A_2 < \deg(F)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T;F) = \frac{T\log X}{\pi} + O\left(T^{1-c}\right) \tag{3.0.4}$$

uniformly for $T^{A_1} \ll X \ll T^{A_2}$ for some $c > 0$, then for any fixed $1/A_2 < B_1 \leq B_2 < 1/A_1$

$$\begin{aligned} Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) &= \frac{1}{6}\delta X(3\log X - 4\log 2) + O(\delta^{1+c/2}X) \\ &+ O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_1})^{-2A_1/(4A_1+1)}) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_2})^{1/2}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.5}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_2} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$. While for the regime $\deg(F) < A_1 < A_2$ if

$$\mathcal{F}(X,T;F) \sim \frac{T}{\pi}\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{T}{2\pi} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) - \deg(F)\right) + O\left(T^{1-c}\right) \tag{3.0.6}$$

uniformly for $T^{A_1} \ll X \ll T^{A_2}$ for some $c > 0$, then for any fixed $1/A_2 < B_1 \leq B_2 < 1/A_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) \\ =&\frac{1}{2}\delta(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (1-\gamma-\log 2\pi)\deg(F)) \\ &+ O(\delta^{1+c/2}X) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_2})^{1/2}) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_2})^{-2A_1/(4A_1+1)}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.7}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_2} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$.

From these relations the variances for fixed differences are then found. It is shown that if $1/\deg(F) < B_1 < B_2 \leq B_3 < 1$ and

$$Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) = \frac{1}{6}\delta X(3\log X - 4\log 2) + O(\delta^{1+c}X) \tag{3.0.8}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_3} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Var^{fix}(X,h;F) &= \frac{1}{6}h(6\log X - (3+8\log 2)) \\ &+ O_\epsilon(hX^\epsilon(h/X)^{c/3}) + O_\epsilon(hX^\epsilon(hX^{-(1-B_1)})^{1/(3(1-B_1))}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.9}$$

uniformly for $X^{1-B_3} \ll h \ll X^{1-B_2}$. For the regime $0 < B_1 < B_2 \leq B_3 < 1/\deg(F)$ if

$$Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) = \frac{1}{2}\delta X\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} - \deg(F)(\log 2\pi + \gamma - 1) + \log \mathfrak{q}(F)\right) + O\left(\delta^{1+c}X\right) \tag{3.0.10}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_3} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$Var^{fix}(X,h;F) = h\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{X}{h} - \deg(F)(\log 2\pi + \gamma) + \log \mathfrak{q}(F)\right) + O_\epsilon\left(hX^\epsilon(h/X)^{c/3}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(hX^{1-(B_2-B_1)/3(1-B_1)+\epsilon}\right) \tag{3.0.11}$$

uniformly for $X^{1-B_3} \ll h \ll X^{1-B_2}$. Further relations between $\mathcal{F}(X,T;F)$, $Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F)$ and $Var^{fix}(X,h;F)$ may be found in [BKS16].

3.1 A reduced form of the 2-point correlation statistic for the Selberg class

The 2-point correlation deduced in the previous chapter is now reduced by specifying the test function. Firstly

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F) = \sum_{m,n\geq 1} f(t(m;F) - t(n;F))1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq T} = J_1 + J_2 + O_\epsilon(T^{1/2+\epsilon}), \tag{3.1.1}$$

where

$$J_1 = \int_{|t|\leq T} d^{mean}(t;F)\,dt \tag{3.1.2}$$

and

$$J_2 = \int_{|t|\leq T,|\eta|\leq 2T} \cos(\eta\log X)\exp(-\eta^2)R_2(X,T;F)\,d\eta dt, \tag{3.1.3}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F) &= \sum_{m,n\geq 1} f(t(m;F)-t(n;F))1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq T} \\ &= \sum_{m,n\geq 1} X^{i((t(m;F)-t(n;F))}\exp(-(t(m;F)-t(n;F))^2)1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq T}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.4}$$

Since $f=\cos(z\log X)\exp(-z^2)$ is even

$$\int_{|t|\leq 2T}\eta^{2k-1}f(\eta)\,d\eta = 0, \tag{3.1.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|\eta|\leq 2T}\eta^{2k}f(\eta)\,d\eta = \sum_{j\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^j(\log X)^{2j}}{(2j)!}\int_{|\eta|\leq 2T}\eta^{2(k+j)}\exp(-\eta^2)\,d\eta \\ =&\sqrt{\pi}\sum_{j\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^j(2k+2j)!}{2^{2k+2j}(2j)!(k+j)!}(\log X)^{2j}+O((2T)^{2k-1}\exp(2(\log X)T-4T^2))\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.6}$$

for any $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|\eta|\leq 2T}\eta^{2k}f(\eta)\,d\eta \ll (\log X/2)^{2k}\exp(-(\log X)^2/4) \\ &+(2T)^{2k-1}\exp(2(\log X)T-4T^2)\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.7}$$

for any $k\geq 0$.

Moreover

$$\int_{|\eta|\leq 2T}\eta^{2k-1}\cos(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta)f(\eta)\,d\eta = 0, \tag{3.1.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|\eta|\leq 2T}\eta^{2k}\cos(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta)f(\eta)\,d\eta \\ =&\sum_{i,j\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^{i+j}(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F))^{2i}(\log X)^{2j}}{(2i)!(2j)!}\int_{|\eta|\leq 2T}\eta^{2(k+i+j)}\exp(-\eta^2)\,d\eta \\ =&\sqrt{\pi}\sum_{i,j\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^{i+j}(2k+2i+2j)!}{2^{2k+2i+2j}(2i)!(2j)!(k+i+j)!}(2\pi(d^{mean}(t;F))^{2i}(\log X)^{2j} \\ &+O((2T)^{2k-1}\exp(2(\log X+2\pi d^{mean}(T;F))T-4T^2))\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.9}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In particular,

$$\int_{|\eta|\le 2T} \eta^{2k} \cos(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta) f(\eta)\, d\eta \ll ((\log X + 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F))/2)^{2k} \exp(-(\log X - 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F))^2/4) + (2T)^{2k-1} \exp(2(\log X + 2\pi d^{mean}(T;F))T - 4T^2) \tag{3.1.10}$$

for any $k \ge 0$, and hence for $\alpha < \deg(F)$

$$\int_{|t|\le T, |\eta|\le 2T} \eta^{2k} \cos(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta) f(\eta)\, d\eta dt \ll_\epsilon T^{\alpha/\deg(F)+\epsilon} (d^{mean}(T;F))^{2k} + (2T)^{2k} \exp(2(\log X + 2\pi d^{mean}(T;F))T - 4T^2), \tag{3.1.11}$$

whereas for $\alpha > \deg(F)$

$$\int_{|t|\le T, |\eta|\le 2T} \eta^{2k} \cos(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta) f(\eta)\, d\eta dt \ll_\epsilon T(\log X)^{2k} \exp(-c(\log X)^2) + (2T)^{2k} \exp(2(\log X + 2\pi d^{mean}(T;F))T - 4T^2) \tag{3.1.12}$$

with some absolute constant $c > 0$, for any $k \ge 0$. Similarly,

$$\int_{|\eta|\le 2T} \eta^{2k} \sin(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta) f(\eta)\, d\eta = 0, \tag{3.1.13}$$

and for $\alpha < \deg(F)$

$$\int_{|t|\le T, |\eta|\le 2T} \eta^{2k+1} \sin(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta) f(\eta)\, d\eta dt \ll_\epsilon T^{\alpha/\deg(F)+\epsilon} (d^{mean}(T;F))^{2k+1} + (2T)^{2k} \exp(2(\log X + 2\pi d^{mean}(T;F))T - 4T^2), \tag{3.1.14}$$

whereas for $\alpha > \deg(F)$

$$\int_{|t|\le T, |\eta|\le 2T} \eta^{2k+1} \sin(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta) f(\eta)\, d\eta dt \ll_\epsilon T(\log X)^{2k+1} \exp(-c(\log X)^2) + (2T)^{2k+1} \exp(2(\log X + 2\pi d^{mean}(T;F))T - 4T^2) \tag{3.1.15}$$

with some absolute constant $c > 0$, for any $k \ge 0$.

Expanding terms then gives

$$\begin{aligned}J_2 =& \int_{|t|\leq T,|\eta|\leq 2T} (d^{mean}(t;F))^2 f(\eta)\, d\eta dt - \int_{|t|\leq T,|\eta|\leq 2T} 2\eta^{-2} f(\eta)\, d\eta dt \\ &+ \int_{|t|\leq T,|\eta|\leq 2T} 2\eta^{-2}\cos(2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\eta) f(\eta)\, d\eta dt + E \\ =& 2\pi^{-3/2}\int_{|t|\leq T} \sum_{i\geq 2, j\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{i+j}\pi^{2i}(2i+2j-2)!}{2^{2j}(2i)!(2j)!(i+j-1)!}(d^{mean}(t;F))^{2i}(\log X)^{2j}\, dt \\ &+ E, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.16}$$

where for every $A > 0$

$$E \ll_{\epsilon,A} \begin{cases} T^{\alpha/\deg(F)+\epsilon} & \text{if } \alpha < \deg(F) \\ T^{-A} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.1.17}$$

Inserting the sum into the symbolic manipulation software, Mathematica, gives

$$\begin{aligned} &- \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8}|\log X - 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)|\mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{|\log X - 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)|}{2}\right) \\ &- \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8}(\log X + 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F))\mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{\log X + 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)}{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}\log X \mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{\log X}{2}\right) + O\left(\exp(-(\log X - 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F))^2/4)\right) \\ &+ O\left((d^{mean}(T;F))^2\exp(-(\log X)^2/4)\right) \\ =& -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}(\max\{\log X, 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\} - \log X) \\ &+ O\left((d^{mean}(T;F))^2\exp(-(\log X - 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F))^2/4)\right) \\ &+ O\left((d^{mean}(T;F))^2\exp(-(\log X)^2/4)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.18}$$

Giving

$$J_2 = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{|t|\leq T}(\max\{\log X, 2\pi d^{mean}(t;F)\} - \log X)\, dt + E. \tag{3.1.19}$$

Together with J_1 this then gives the expression

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_F(X,T) = \frac{T\log X}{\pi} + O_\epsilon(X^{1/\deg(F)+\epsilon}) + O_\epsilon(T^{1/2+\epsilon}) \tag{3.1.20}$$

unifomly for $X \leq T^{\deg(F)-\epsilon}$ and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F) = \frac{T}{\pi}\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{T}{2\pi} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) - \deg(F)\right) + O_\epsilon(T^{1/2+\epsilon}) \tag{3.1.21}$$

uniformly for $X \geq T^{\deg(F)+\epsilon}$.

3.2 $Var^{mul}(X, \delta; F)$

Assuming the same expression holds for $\mathcal{F}(X, T; F)$ consideration is now given to

$$\begin{aligned} I(X,T) &= \int_{|t|\leq T} \left| \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{X^{it(n;F)}}{1+(t-t(n;F))^2} 1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z} \right|^2 dt \\ &= \sum_{m,n\geq 1} X^{i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))} 1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq Z} \\ &\quad \int_{|t|\leq T} \frac{1}{(1+(t-t(m;F))^2)(1+(t-t(n;F))^2)}\, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.1}$$

Given that the number of zeros between t and $t+1$ is $\ll \log|t|$, the summation can be restricted to zeros with $|t(m;F)| \leq T \leq Z$ and $|t(n;F)| \leq T \leq Z$ incurring an error of size $\ll (\log T)^2$. Similarly the domain of integration can be extended to the whole real line, incurring an error of size $\ll (\log T)^3$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I(X,T) = &\sum_{m,n\geq 1} X^{i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))} 1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq T} \\ &\int \frac{1}{(1+(t-t(m;F))^2)(1+(t-t(n;F))^2)}\, dt + O\left((\log T)^3\right) \\ =&\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{F}(X,T;F) + O\left((\log T)^3\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.2}$$

In the regime $1 < A_1 < A_2 < \deg(F)$ it then follows that

$$I(X,T) = \frac{T\log X}{2} + O\left(T^{1-c}\right) \tag{3.2.3}$$

uniformly for $X^{1/A_2} \ll T \ll X^{1/A_1}$, whereas for the regime $\deg(F) < A_1 < A_2$

$$I(X,T) = \frac{T}{2}\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{T}{2\pi} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) - \deg(F)\right) T + O\left(T^{1-c}\right) \tag{3.2.4}$$

uniformly for $X^{1/A_2} \ll T \ll X^{1/A_1}$.

Taking

$$a(s) = \frac{(1+\delta)^s - 1}{s} \tag{3.2.5}$$

gives

$$|a(it)|^2 = 4\left(\frac{\sin\kappa t}{t}\right)^2, \tag{3.2.6}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{\log(1+\delta)}{2}$ and

$$a(s) \ll \min\{\delta, 1/|s|\} \text{ and } a'(s) \ll \min\left\{\delta^2, \delta/|s|\right\}, \tag{3.2.7}$$

for $\Re(s) \leq 1$. To proceed a lemma is now demonstrated.

3.2.1 Lemma A

Taking f to be a non-negative function satisfying the bound $f(t) = O_\epsilon(|t|^\epsilon)$, if

$$R(u) = \int_{|t|\leq u} f(t)\, dt - u = O(u^{1-c}) \tag{3.2.8}$$

uniformly for $U_1 \leq u \leq U_2$, for some $0 < c < 1$, where $U_1 = \kappa^{-(1-c_1)}$ and $U_2 = \kappa^{-(1+c_2)}$, for some $0 < c_1 < 1$ and $0 < c_2 < 1$, then

$$\int \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 f(u)\, du = \frac{\pi}{2}\kappa + O(\kappa^{1+c}) + O_\epsilon(\kappa^{1+c_1-\epsilon}) + O_\epsilon(\kappa^{1+c_2-\epsilon}) \tag{3.2.9}$$

as $\kappa \to 0^+$.

Proof

Firstly

$$\int \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 f(u)\, du = \int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 (f(u) + f(-u))\, du$$
$$+ \int_{u\geq U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 (f(u) + f(-u))\, du + \int_{|u|\leq U_1} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 f(u)\, du. \tag{3.2.10}$$

The first term is expressible as

$$\int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 dR(u) + \int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du. \tag{3.2.11}$$

Now

$$\int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du = \int_{u\geq 0} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du$$
$$- \int_{0\leq u\leq U_1} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du - \int_{u\geq U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du, \tag{3.2.12}$$

where

$$\int_{u\geq 0}\left(\frac{\sin\kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du = \kappa\int_{v\geq 0}\left(\frac{\sin v}{v}\right)^2 dv. \tag{3.2.13}$$

Using the definite integral

$$\int_{v\geq 0}\left(\frac{\sin v}{v}\right)^2 dv = \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{3.2.14}$$

then gives

$$\int_{u\geq 0}\left(\frac{\sin\kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du = \frac{\pi}{2}\kappa. \tag{3.2.15}$$

The remaining terms contribute to the errors. Integrating by parts gives

$$\int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2}\left(\frac{\sin\kappa u}{u}\right)^2 dR(u) \ll \int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2}\left(\left|\frac{\kappa\sin 2\kappa u}{u^2}\right| + \left|\frac{(\sin\kappa u)^2}{u^3}\right|\right)|R(u)|\,du$$
$$+U_2^{-2}R(U_2) + \kappa^2 R(U_1), \tag{3.2.16}$$

where

$$\int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2}\left|\frac{\kappa\sin 2\kappa u}{u^2}\right||R(u)|\,du \ll \kappa^2\int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2} u^{-c}\,du \ll \kappa^2 U_1^{1-c}, \tag{3.2.17}$$

with

$$\kappa^2 U_1^{1-c} = \kappa^2\kappa^{-(1-c_1)(1-c)} = \kappa^{1+c+c_1(1-c)} \ll \kappa^{1+c}, \tag{3.2.18}$$

similarly

$$\int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2}\left|\frac{(\sin\kappa u)^2}{u^3}\right||R(u)|\,du \ll \kappa^2\int_{U_1\leq u\leq U_2} u^{-c}\,du \ll \kappa^{1+c}. \tag{3.2.19}$$

Also

$$U_2^{-2}R(U_2) \ll U_2^{-1-c}, \tag{3.2.20}$$

where

$$U_2^{-1-c} = \kappa^{-(1+c_2)(-1-c)} = \kappa^{1+c+c_2(1+c)} \ll \kappa^{1+c}, \tag{3.2.21}$$

and

$$\kappa^2 R(U_1) \ll \kappa^2 U_1^{1-c}, \tag{3.2.22}$$

where

$$\kappa^2 U_1^{1-c} = \kappa^{2-(1-c_1)(1-c)} = \kappa^{1+c+c_1(1-c)} \ll \kappa^{1+c}, \tag{3.2.23}$$

giving

$$\int_{U_1 \le u \le U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 dR(u) \ll \kappa^{1+c}. \tag{3.2.24}$$

Also

$$\int_{0 \le u \le U_1} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du \ll \kappa^2 \int_{0 \le u \le U_1} du \ll \kappa^{1+c_1}, \tag{3.2.25}$$

and

$$\int_{u \ge U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 du \ll \int_{u \ge U_2} u^{-2}\, du \ll \kappa^{1+c_2}. \tag{3.2.26}$$

Similarly

$$\int_{u \ge U_2} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 (f(u) + f(-u))\, du \ll_\epsilon \int_{u \ge U_2} u^{-2+\epsilon}\, du \ll_\epsilon U_2^{-1+\epsilon} \ll_\epsilon \kappa^{1+c_2-\epsilon} \tag{3.2.27}$$

and

$$\int_{|u| \le U_1} \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 f(u)\, du \ll_\epsilon \kappa^2 \int_{0 < u \le U_1} u^\epsilon\, du \ll_\epsilon \kappa^2 U_1^{1+\epsilon} \ll_\epsilon \kappa^{1+c_1-\epsilon}. \tag{3.2.28}$$

□

Applying Lemma A in the range

$$\delta^{-(1-c_1)} \ll T \ll \delta^{-(1+c_2)}, \tag{3.2.29}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int |a(it)|^2 \left| \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{X^{it(n;F)}}{1 + (t - t(n;F))^2} 1_{|t(n;F)| \le Z} \right|^2 dt \\ =&\pi\kappa \log X + O\left(\kappa^{1+c}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\kappa^{1+c_1-\epsilon}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\kappa^{1+c_2-\epsilon}\right) \\ =&\frac{\pi}{2}\delta \log X + O\left(\delta^{1+c}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_1-\epsilon}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_2-\epsilon}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.30}$$

Denoting the integral above by J and the integral with $a(it)$ replaced by $a(1/2+it(n;F))$ by K, with $J = \int |A|^2$ and $K = \int |B|^2$, since the number of zeros between t and $t+1$ is $\ll \log(|t|)$,

$$A, B \ll \min\{\delta, 1/|t|\} \log |t| \tag{3.2.31}$$

and

$$a(it) - a(1/2 + it(n;F)) \ll (1 + |t - t(n;F)|) \min\left\{\delta^2, \delta/|t|\right\}. \tag{3.2.32}$$

Therefore

$$A - B \ll \min\left\{\delta^2, \delta/|t|\right\} (\log|t|)^2, \tag{3.2.33}$$

giving

$$|A|^2 - |B|^2 \ll \min\left\{\delta^3, \delta/|t|^2\right\} (\log|t|)^3 \tag{3.2.34}$$

and

$$J - K \ll \delta^2 \left(\log\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^3. \tag{3.2.35}$$

Using this then gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \left|\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{a(1/2+it(n;F))X^{it(n;F)}}{1+(t-t(n;F))^2} 1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z}\right|^2 dt \\ =&\frac{\pi}{2}\delta\log X + O\left(\delta^{1+c}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_1-\epsilon}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_2-\epsilon}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.36}$$

Making use of the Fourier transform,

$$\int \frac{1}{1+(t-u)^2}\exp(-2\pi itv)\,dt = \pi\exp(-2\pi iuv)\exp(-2\pi|v|), \tag{3.2.37}$$

an application of Plancherel's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \left|\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{a(1/2+it(n;F))X^{it(n;F)}}{1+(t-t(n;F))^2} 1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z}\right|^2 dt \\ =&\frac{\pi}{2}\int \left|\sum_{n\geq 1} a(1/2+it(n;F))\exp(it(n;F)(Y+y))1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z}\right|^2 \exp(-2|y|)\,dy,\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.38}$$

using the substitution $Y = \log X$ and $y = -2\pi v$. A special case of lemma 1 of [LPZ12] is now used to remove the weight.

3.2.2 Lemma B

Taking f to be a non-negative function satisfying

$$\int f(T+y)\exp(-2|y|)\,dy = 1 + O(\exp(-cY)) \tag{3.2.39}$$

for $Y \leq T \leq Y + \log 2$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$\int_{0\leq y\leq \log 2} f(Y+y)\exp(2y)\,dy = \frac{3}{2} + O(\exp(-cY/2)). \tag{3.2.40}$$

Applying Lemma B now gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{X\leq x\leq 2X}\left|\sum_n a(1/2+it(n;F))x^{1/2+it(n;F)}1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z}\right|^2 dx\\ =&\frac{3}{2}\delta X^2\log X+O(\delta^{1+c/2}X^2)+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_1/2-\epsilon}X^2)+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_2/2-\epsilon}X^2)\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.41}$$

after the change of variable $x=\exp(Y+y)$, provided that

$$X^{1/A_2}\ll\delta^{-(1-c_1)}<\delta^{-(1+c_2)}\ll X^{1/A_1}.\tag{3.2.42}$$

Taking $Z=X^2$ in the explicit formula then gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{X\leq x\leq 2X}|\psi(x,\delta x;F)-m(F)\delta x|^2\,dx\\ =&\frac{3}{2}\delta X^2\log X+O(\delta^{1+c/2}X^2)+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_1/2-\epsilon}X^2)+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_2/2-\epsilon}X^2)\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.43}$$

Summing over the dyadic intervals $[2^{-j}X, 2^{-j+1}X]$, with $1\leq j\leq J$ and

$$2^J=\delta^{(1+c_2)A_1}X\tag{3.2.44}$$

and noting that

$$\begin{aligned}&3\sum_{1\leq j\leq J}j4^{-j}=4\sum_{1\leq j\leq J}j(4^{-j}-4^{-(j+1)})=1+4\sum_{2\leq j\leq J}4^{-j}-J4^{-J}\\ =&4\sum_{1\leq j\leq J}4^{-j}-J4^{-J}=\frac{4-4^{-J+1}}{3}-J4^{-J}=\frac{4-(3J+4)4^{-J}}{3}\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.45}$$

then gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{2^{-J}X\leq x\leq X}|\psi(x,\delta x;F)-m(F)\delta x|^2\,dx\\ =&\frac{1-4^{-J}}{2}\delta X^2\log X-\frac{4-(3J+4)4^{-J}}{6}\delta X^2\log 2\\ &+O(\delta^{1+c/2}X^2)+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_1/2-\epsilon}X^2)+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_2/2-\epsilon}X^2).\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.46}$$

To bound the contribution coming from the range $2^{-J}X\leq x\leq X$ the steps from lemma 5 of the work of Saffari and Vaughan in [SV77] are now followed, adapted to the Selberg class.

3.2.3 Lemma C

$$Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) \ll \delta X^2 \left(\log\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^2 \tag{3.2.47}$$

for $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

Proof

Taking $Z \to \infty$ in the explicit formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi(x,\delta x;F) - m(F)\delta x| \leq & x^{\frac{1}{2}} \left|\sum_{n\geq 1} a(1/2+it(n;F))x^{it(n;F)}\right| \\ & + \left|\sum_{1\leq j\leq r}\lambda_j \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{(x+\delta x)^{-n/(\max_{1\leq k\leq r}\lambda_k)}}{n}\right|\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.48}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}&|\psi(x,\delta x;F) - m(F)\delta x|^2 \\ =& x\sum_{m,n\geq 1} a(1/2+it(m;F))a^*(1/2+it(n;F))x^{i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))} \\ &+ O\left(\left(\log\left(1-(x+\delta x)^{-\frac{1}{\max_{1\leq k\leq r}\lambda_k}}\right)\right)^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.49}$$

Consideration is now given to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{X\leq x\leq 2X} |\psi(x,\delta x;F) - m(F)\delta x|^2\, dx \\ \ll & \int_{1\leq\tau\leq 2}\int_{\frac{1}{2}X\tau\leq x\leq 2X\tau} |\psi(x,\delta x;F) - m(F)\delta x|^2\, dx d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.50}$$

Firstly,

$$a(1/2+it(m;F))a^*(1/2+it(n;F)) = \frac{(1+\delta)^{1/2+it(m;F)}-1}{1/2+it(m;F)}\frac{(1+\delta)^{1/2+it(n;F)}-1}{1/2+it(n;F)}, \tag{3.2.51}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{1\le\tau\le 2}\int_{\frac{1}{2}X\tau\le x\le 2X\tau} x x^{i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}\,dx d\tau\\
=&\frac{2^{2+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}-2^{-2-i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}}{2+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}X^{2+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}\\
&\int_{1\le\tau\le 2}\tau^{2+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}\,d\tau\\
=&\frac{2^{2+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}-2^{-2-i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}}{2+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}X^{2+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}\\
&\frac{2^{3+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}-1}{3+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.52}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\left|(1/2+it(n;F))\,\delta+O(\delta^2)\right|^2}{1/4+(t(n;F))^2}\ll\frac{\left|(1/2+it(n;F))\,\delta+O(\delta^2)\right|^2}{1/4+(t(n;F))^2}\\
&\frac{2^4+2^{-4}}{1+|t(m;F)-t(n;F)|^2}\\
\ll&\frac{\delta^2+\delta^2(t(n;F))^{-2}}{(1+|t(m;F)-t(n;F)|)^2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.53}$$

Similarly

$$\left|\frac{(1+\delta)^{1/2+it(m;F)}-1}{1/2+it(m;F)}\frac{2^{3+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}-1}{3+i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}\right|^2\ll\frac{\delta^2+\delta^2(t(m;F))^{-2}}{(1+|t(m;F)-t(n;F)|)^2}.\tag{3.2.54}$$

Using the bound of $\log t(n;F)$ for the spacing between the nth zero ordinate and the next closest zero ordinate gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{X\le x\le 2X}|\psi(x,\delta x;F)-m(F)\delta x|^2\,dx\\
\ll&X^2\sum_{m,n\ge 1}\min(\delta^2,(t(m;F))^{-2})(1+|t(m;F)-t(n;F)|)^{-2}\\
\ll&\delta^2X^2\sum_{m\ge 1}\log t(m;F)1_{0<t(m;F)\le\delta^{-1}}\ll\delta X^2\left(\log\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^2
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.55}$$

and the lemma then follows by summing over dyadic intervals. □

Applying Lemma C then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F)=&\frac{1}{6}\delta X(3\log X-4\log 2)+O(\delta^{1+c/2}X^2)\\
&+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_1/2-\epsilon}X)+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_2/2-\epsilon}X)+O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X4^{-J}),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.56}$$

therefore

$$Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) = \frac{1}{6}\delta X(3\log X - 4\log 2) + O(\delta^{1+c/2}X) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_1})^{-2A_1/(4A_1+1)}) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X(\delta X^{1/A_2})^{1/2}), \tag{3.2.57}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_2} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$ for some $c > 0$ and any fixed $1/A_2 < B_1 \leq B_2 < 1/A_1$.

For the regime $\deg(F) < A_1 < A_2$ the analysis is almost identical, as may be seen in [BKS16]. Changing the function in Lemma A to $R(u) = \int_{|t|\leq u} f(t)\,dt - u(\log u + A)$ results in

$$\int \left(\frac{\sin \kappa u}{u}\right)^2 f(u)\,du = \frac{\pi}{2}\kappa\left(\log\frac{1}{\kappa} + B\right) + O(\kappa^{1+c}) + O_\epsilon(\kappa^{1+c_1-\epsilon}) + O_\epsilon(\kappa^{1+c_2-\epsilon}) \tag{3.2.58}$$

as $\kappa \to 0^+$, where $B = A + 2 - \gamma - \log 2$. Applying this in place of Lemma A then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int |a(it)|^2 \left|\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{X^{it(n;F)}}{1+(t-t(n;F))^2} 1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z}\right|^2 dt \\
=&\pi\kappa\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\kappa} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (1-\gamma-\log 4\pi)\deg(F)\right) + O\left(\kappa^{1+c}\right) \\
&+ O_\epsilon\left(\kappa^{1+c_1-\epsilon}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\kappa^{1+c_2-\epsilon}\right) \\
=&\frac{\pi}{2}\delta\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (1-\gamma-\log 2\pi)\deg(F)\right) + O\left(\delta^{1+c}\right) \\
&+ O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_1-\epsilon}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_2-\epsilon}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.59}$$

The calculation then proceeds as before giving

$$\begin{aligned}
&Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) \\
=&\frac{1}{2}\delta(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (1-\gamma-\log 2\pi)\deg(F)) \\
&+ O(\delta^{1+c/2}X) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_1/2-\epsilon}X) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1+c_2/2-\epsilon}X) + O_\epsilon(\delta^{1-\epsilon}X4^{-J}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.60}$$

3.3 $Var^{fix}(X,h;F)$

It is now shown that if $0 < B_1 < B_2 \leq B_3 < 1/\deg(F)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) =& \frac{1}{2}\delta X\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} - \deg(F)(\log 2\pi + \gamma - 1) + \log \mathfrak{q}(F)\right) \\ &+ O\left(\delta^{1+c}X\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.1}$$

uniformly for $X^{-B_3} \ll \delta \ll X^{-B_1}$ for some $c > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Var^{fix}(X,h;F) =& h\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{X}{h} - \deg(F)(\log 2\pi + \gamma) + \log \mathfrak{q}(F)\right) \\ &+ O_\epsilon\left(hX^\epsilon(h/X)^{c/3}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(hX^{1-(B_2-B_1)/3(1-B_1)+\epsilon}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.2}$$

uniformly for $X^{1-B_3} \ll h \ll X^{1-B_2}$.

Firstly setting $h = \delta x$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{X\leq x\leq 2X, H_1\leq h\leq H_2} |\psi(x,h;F) - m(F)h|^2\, dhdx \\ =& \int_R x|\psi(x,\delta x;F) - m(F)\delta x|^2\, d\delta dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.3}$$

where

$$R = \{(\delta, x) : H_1/x \leq \delta \leq H_2/x \text{ and } X \leq x \leq 2X\}. \tag{3.3.4}$$

Assuming $H_1 < H_2 < 2H_1$, exchanging the order of integration gives an integral over $R = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3$, where

$$R_1 = \{(x,\delta) : H_1/\delta \leq x \leq 2X \text{ and } H_1/2X \leq \delta \leq H_2/2X\}, \tag{3.3.5}$$

$$R_2 = \{(x,\delta) : H_1/\delta \leq x \leq H_2/\delta \text{ and } H_2/2X \leq \delta \leq H_1/X\} \tag{3.3.6}$$

and

$$R_3 = \{(x,\delta) : X \leq x \leq H_2/\delta \text{ and } H_1/X \leq \delta \leq H_2/X\}. \tag{3.3.7}$$

The integrals over x are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{X_1\le x\le X_2} x|\psi(x,\delta x;F)-m(F)\delta x|^2\,dx\\
=&X_2^2Var^{mul}(X_2,\delta;F)-X_1^2Var^{mul}(X_1,\delta;F)\\
&-\int_{X_1\le x\le X_2} xVar^{mul}(x,\delta;F)\,dx\\
=&\frac{1}{3}\delta(X_2^3-X_1^3)\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta}+\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1)\right)\\
&+O(\delta^{1+c}X_2^3). \qquad (3.3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

For $X_1=H_1/\delta$ and $X_2=2X$ the terms coming from (3.3.8) are

$$\frac{8}{3}X^3\deg(F)\delta\log\frac{1}{\delta},-\frac{1}{3}H_1^3\deg(F)\delta^{-2}\log\frac{1}{\delta},$$

$$\frac{8}{3}X^3(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\delta,$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}H_1^3(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\delta^{-2}\text{ and }O(\delta^{1+c}X^3),$$

for $X_1=H_1/\delta$ and $X_2=H_2/\delta$ they are

$$\frac{1}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)\deg(F)\delta^{-2}\log\frac{1}{\delta},\frac{1}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\delta^{-2}$$

$$\text{and }O(\delta^{-2+c}H_1^3)$$

and for $X_1=X$ and $X_2=H_2/\delta$ they are

$$\frac{1}{3}H_2^3\deg(F)\delta^{-2}\log\frac{1}{\delta},-\frac{1}{3}X^3\deg(F)\delta\log\frac{1}{\delta},$$

$$\frac{1}{3}H_2^3(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\delta^{-2},$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}X^3(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\delta\text{ and }O(\delta^{-2+c}H_1^3).$$

The integrals over δ are therefore of the form

$$\int_{\Delta_1\le\delta\le\Delta_2}\delta\log\frac{1}{\delta}\,d\delta=-\frac{1}{4}(\Delta_2^2(2\log\Delta_2-1)-\Delta_1^2(2\log\Delta_1-1)), \qquad (3.3.9)$$

$$\int_{\Delta_1\le\delta\le\Delta_2}\delta^{-2}\log\frac{1}{\delta}\,d\delta=\Delta_2^{-1}(\log\Delta_2+1)-\Delta_1^{-1}(\log\Delta_1+1), \qquad (3.3.10)$$

$$\int_{\Delta_1\le\delta\le\Delta_2}\delta\,d\delta=\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_2^2-\Delta_1^2) \tag{3.3.11}$$

and

$$\int_{\Delta_1\le\delta\le\Delta_2}\delta^{-2}\,d\delta=-(\Delta_2^{-1}-\Delta_1^{-1}). \tag{3.3.12}$$

Setting $\Delta_1=H_1/2X$ and $\Delta_2=H_2/2X$, the integral over R_1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{2}{3}X^3\deg(F)\left(\frac{H_2}{2X}\right)^2\left(2\log\frac{H_2}{X}-2\log 2-1\right)\\
&+\frac{2}{3}X^3\deg(F)\left(\frac{H_1}{2X}\right)^2\left(2\log\frac{H_1}{X}-2\log 2-1\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{3}H_1^3\deg(F)\left(\frac{2X}{H_2}\right)\left(\log\left(\frac{H_2}{X}\right)-\log 2+1\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{3}H_2^3\deg(F)\left(\frac{2X}{H_1}\right)\left(\log\left(\frac{H_1}{X}\right)-\log 2+1\right)\\
&+\frac{4}{3}X^3(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\left(\left(\frac{H_2}{2X}\right)^2-\left(\frac{H_1}{2X}\right)^2\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{3}H_1^3(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\left(\left(\frac{2X}{H_2}\right)-\left(\frac{2X}{H_1}\right)\right)\\
&+O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.13}$$

setting $\Delta_1=H_2/2X$ and $\Delta_2=H_1/X$, the integral over R_2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)\deg(F)\\
&\left(\left(\frac{X}{H_1}\right)\left(\log\left(\frac{H_1}{X}\right)+1\right)-\left(\frac{2X}{H_2}\right)\left(\log\left(\frac{H_2}{X}\right)-\log 2+1\right)\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\left(\left(\frac{X}{H_1}\right)-\left(\frac{2X}{H_2}\right)\right)\\
&+O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.14}$$

and setting $\Delta_1 = H_1/X$ and $\Delta_2 = H_2/X$, the integral over R_3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{3}H_2^3\deg(F)\left(\left(\frac{X}{H_2}\right)\left(\log\left(\frac{H_2}{X}\right)+1\right)-\left(\frac{X}{H_1}\right)\left(\log\left(\frac{H_1}{X}\right)+1\right)\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{12}X^3\deg(F)\left(\left(\frac{H_2}{X}\right)^2\left(2\log\frac{H_2}{X}-1\right)-\left(\frac{H_1}{X}\right)^2\left(2\log\frac{H_1}{X}-1\right)\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{3}H_2^3(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\left(\left(\frac{X}{H_1}\right)-\left(\frac{X}{H_2}\right)\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{6}X^3(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\left(\left(\frac{H_2}{X}\right)^2-\left(\frac{H_1}{X}\right)^2\right)\\
&+O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3.15}$$

The total contribution is therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{3}H_1^2+\frac{2}{3}H_1^3+\frac{1}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)H_1^{-1}-\frac{1}{3}H_2^3H_1^{-1}-\frac{1}{6}H_1^2\right)\deg(F)X\log\frac{H_1}{X}\\
&+\left(-\frac{1}{3}H_2^3-\frac{2}{3}H_1^3H_2^{-1}-\frac{2}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)H_2^{-1}+\frac{1}{3}H_2^2+\frac{1}{6}H_2^2\right)\deg(F)X\log\frac{H_2}{X}\\
&+\Bigg(\frac{1}{6}(H_2^2-H_1^2)(2\log 2+1)\deg(F)+\frac{2}{3}H_1^3H_2^{-1}\deg(F)(\log 2-1)\\
&-\frac{2}{3}H_1^2\deg(F)(\log 2-1)+\frac{1}{3}(H_2^2-H_1^2)(\log\mathfrak{q}_F-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\\
&+\frac{2}{3}H_1^3H_2^{-1}(\log\mathfrak{q}_F-d_F(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\\
&-\frac{2}{3}H_1^2(\log\mathfrak{q}_F-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\\
&+\frac{1}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)H_1^{-1}\deg(F)+\frac{2}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)\deg(F)H_2^{-1}(\log 2-1)\\
&-\frac{1}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)(\log\mathfrak{q}_F-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))H_1^{-1}\\
&+\frac{2}{3}(H_2^3-H_1^3)(\log\mathfrak{q}_F-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))H_2^{-1}+\frac{1}{3}H_2^2\deg(F)\\
&-\frac{1}{3}H_2^3H_1^{-1}\deg(F)-\frac{1}{12}(H_2^2-H_1^2)\deg(F)\\
&+\frac{1}{3}H_2^3H_1^{-1}(\log\mathfrak{q}_F-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\\
&-\frac{1}{3}H_2^2(\log\mathfrak{q}_F-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\\
&-\frac{1}{6}(H_2^2-H_1^2)(\log\mathfrak{q}_F-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\Bigg)X\\
&+O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3.16}$$

Collecting terms then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{X\le x\le 2X, H_1\le h\le H_2} |\psi(x,h;F)-m(F)h|^2\,dhdx\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\deg(F)\left(H_2^2\log\frac{X}{H_2}-H_1^2\log\frac{X}{H_1}\right)\\
&+\left(\frac{1}{6}(2\log 2+1)+\frac{2}{3}(\log 2-1)+\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{12}\right)\deg(F)(H_2^2-H_1^2)X\\
&+\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{6}\right)(H_2^2-H_1^2)X(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\\
&+O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c})\\
\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\deg(F)X\left(H_2^2\log\frac{X}{H_2}-H_1^2\log\frac{X}{H_1}\right)\\
&+\left(\left(\log 2-\frac{1}{4}\right)\deg(F)+\frac{1}{2}(\log\mathfrak{q}(F)-\deg(F)(\log 2\pi+\gamma-1))\right)\\
&(H_2^2-H_1^2)X\\
&+O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c})\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\deg(F)X\left(H_2^2\log\frac{X}{H_2}-H_1^2\log\frac{X}{H_1}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{4}(2\log\mathfrak{q}(F)+(2\log 2+1-2\log\pi-2\gamma)\deg(F))(H_2^2-H_1^2)\\
&+O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3.17}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\le k\le K}\int_{2^{-k}X\le x\le 2^{-k+1}X, H_1\le h\le H_2} |\psi(x,h;F)-m(F)h|^2\,dhdx\\
=&\int_{2^{-K}X\le x\le X}\int_{H_1\le h\le H_2} |\psi(x,h;F)-m(F)h|^2\,dhdx\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\deg(F)X\sum_{1\le k\le K}2^{-k}\left(H_2^2\log\frac{X}{H_2}-H_1^2\log\frac{X}{H_1}\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\deg(F)X\sum_{1\le k\le K}k2^{-k}(H_2^2-H_1^2)\log 2\\
&+\frac{1}{4}(2\log\mathfrak{q}_F+(2\log 2+1-2\log\pi-2\gamma)\deg(F))(H_2^2-H_1^2)X\sum_{1\le k\le K}2^{-k}\\
&+\sum_{1\le k\le K}O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c}2^{k(c-1)}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3.18}$$

The sums are in the form of the geometric series given by

$$\sum_{1\leq k\leq K} 2^{-k} = 1 - 2^{-K} \tag{3.3.19}$$

or by the series given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1\leq k\leq K} k2^{-k} &= 2\sum_{1\leq k\leq K} k(2^{-k} - 2^{-(k+1)}) = 1 + 2\sum_{2\leq k\leq K} 2^{-k} - K2^{-K} \\ &= 2\sum_{1\leq k\leq K} 2^{-k} - K2^{-K} = 2 - (K+2)2^{-K}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.20}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{H_1\leq h\leq H_2, 2^{-K}X\leq x\leq X} |\psi(x,h;F) - m(F)h|^2\, dhdx \\ &= \frac{1-2^{-K}}{2}\deg(F)\left(H_2^2\log\frac{X}{H_2} - H_1^2\log\frac{X}{H_1}\right)X \\ &\quad - \deg(F)(H_2^2 - H_1^2)\log 2X + (K+2)2^{-(K+1)}\deg(F)(H_2^2 - H_1^2)\log 2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1-2^{-K}}{4}(2\log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (2\log 2 + 1 - 2\log\pi - 2\gamma)\deg(F))(H_2^2 - H_1^2)X \\ &\quad + O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.21}$$

To proceed the work in [SV77], now lemma 6, is used to produce a bound similar to that in the previous lemma, but now for a fixed difference.

3.3.1 Lemma D

$$Var^{fix}(X,h;F) \ll hX\left(\log\frac{X}{h}\right)^2 \tag{3.3.22}$$

for $0 < h \leq X$.

Proof

Considering $h \leq x/6$ and supposing that $h \leq \tau - h \leq 2h$ and $x \leq u + h \leq 3x$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&h\int_{x\leq u\leq 2x} |\psi(u,h;F) - m(F)h|^2\, du \\
\ll &\int_{x\leq u\leq 3x, h\leq w\leq 3} |\psi(u,w;F) - m(F)w|^2\, dwdu \\
\ll &x\int_{x\leq u\leq 3x, h/3x\leq \delta\leq 3h/x} |\psi(u,\delta u;F) - m(F)\delta u|^2\, d\delta du \\
\ll &x\int_{h/3x\leq \delta\leq 3h/x, x\leq u\leq 3x} |\psi(u,\delta u;F) - m(F)\delta u|^2\, dud\delta, \qquad (3.3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

the lemma then follows by applying Lemma C. □

Using

$$\int_{H_1\leq h\leq H_2, 1\leq x\leq 2^{-K}X} |\psi(x,h;F) - m(F)h|^2\, dxdh = O(H_2^2 X^{1+\epsilon}2^{-K}) \qquad (3.3.24)$$

then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{H_1\leq h\leq H_2, 1\leq x\leq X} |\psi(x,h;F) - m(F)h|^2\, dhdx \\
=&\frac{1}{2}\deg(F)\left(H_2^2\log\frac{X}{H_2} - H_1^2\log\frac{X}{H_1}\right)X \\
&+\frac{1}{4}(2\log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (-2\log 2 + 1 - 2\log\pi - 2\gamma)\deg(F))(H_2^2 - H_1^2)X \\
&+O(H_1^{2+c}X^{1-c}) + O(H_2^2X^{1+\epsilon}2^{-K}). \qquad (3.3.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $H_1 = H$ and $H_2 = (1+\eta)H$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{H\le h\le (1+\eta)H, 1\le x\le X} |\psi(x,h;F) - m(F)h|^2\, dhdx \\
=&\frac{1}{2}\deg(F)\left((1+2\eta+\eta^2)\left(\log\frac{X}{H} - \log(1+\eta)\right) - \log\frac{X}{H}\right)H^2X \\
&+\frac{1}{4}(2\log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (-2\log 2 + 1 - 2\log\pi - 2\gamma)\deg(F))(\eta^2+2\eta)H^2X \\
&+O(H^{2+c}X^{1-c}) + O((1+\eta)^2H^2X^{1+\epsilon}2^{-K}) \\
=&\frac{1}{2}\deg(F)\left((2\eta+\eta^2)\log\frac{X}{H}\right)H^2X \\
&+\frac{1}{4}(2\log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (-2\log 2 + 1 - 2\log\pi - 2\gamma)\deg(F))(\eta^2+2\eta)H^2X \\
&+O(H^{2+c}X^{1-c}) + O((1+\eta)^2H^2X^{1+\epsilon}2^{-K}) \\
=&\eta\left(\deg(F)\log\frac{X}{H} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) - \left(\log 2\pi + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right)\deg(F)\right)H^2X \\
&+O\left(\eta^2H^2X\log\frac{X}{H}\right) + O(H^{2+c}X^{1-c}) + O(H^2X^{1+\epsilon}2^{-K}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.26}$$

Following the same analysis starting with

$$Var^{mul}(X,\delta;F) = \frac{1}{6}\delta X(3\log X - 4\log 2) + O(\delta^{1+c}X) \tag{3.3.27}$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&Var^{fix}(X,h;F) = \frac{1}{6}h(6\log X - (3 + 8\log 2)) \\
&+O_\epsilon(hX^\epsilon(h/X)^{c/3}) + O_\epsilon(hX^\epsilon(hX^{-(1-B_1)})^{1/(3(1-B_1))}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.28}$$

3.4 Numerics

The behaviour of $Var^{fix}(X,h;F)$ is now examined numerically for some examples of L-functions. The Riemann zeta function, the L-function associated to the Ramanujan tau function and some L-functions associated to different elliptic curves are used.

The code used to generate the data is given in Appendix A. For the Ramanujan tau and elliptic curve cases the associated von Mangoldt functions

require the coefficients in the Dirichlet series, for example for the Ramanujan tau case

$$\Lambda(n;\Delta) = 2\cos\left(\frac{\log(n)}{\Lambda(n)}\arccos\left(\frac{\lambda(\exp(\Lambda(n));\Delta)}{2}\right)\right)\Lambda(n). \tag{3.4.1}$$

The unnormalised coefficients were generated using Sage, [Sag]. For the Ramanujan tau case a normalisation of $n^{11/2}$ was then applied to each coefficient to give the nth normalised coefficient, $\lambda(n;\Delta)$. For the elliptic curve case there is a square root normalisation, otherwise the von Mangoldt function is the same except at a finite number of points where primes divide the conductor. The elliptic curve is specified by entering its Weierstrass coefficients, $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$, which were found on the database of L-functions, modular forms, and related objects, [LMF13], L-functions in subsequent figures and tables are referred to via their label given on the database, which is called the LMFDB label there.



Figure 3.1: For the Riemann zeta function case X is taken to be 15000000, the straight line is given by $\log\frac{X}{h} - (\log 2\pi + \gamma)$.



Figure 3.2: This plot shows the appearence of 2 regimes, illustrated by plotting data for the Ramanujan tau case with $X = 1000000$. The horizontal line is given by $\log 1000000 - \frac{1}{6}(3 + 8\log 2)$, the slanted line is given by $2\log\frac{X}{h} - 2(\log 2\pi + \gamma)$.



Figure 3.3: The universal behaviour in the regime given by the horizontal black line is further illustrated by plotting data for 3 elliptic curves, ◯ are for an elliptic curve of conductor 27, E27.a1, △ are for an elliptic curve of conductor 37, E37.a1, and the □ points correspond to another conductor 37 elliptic curve, E37.b2. In each case X = 1 000 000.

Chapter 4

Outlook

4.1 Random matrix theory and higher order correlations

The universal forms of the 2-point correlation statistic in both the quantum chaology and analytic number theory setting are both conjectural, however they coincide with the corresponding statistic for random unitary matrices with normally distributed matrix elements, a theorem in this field of study. Random matrix theory is a rapidly developing area of study in both mathematics and physics, with its origins in the study of the multiple interactions present in atomic nuclei. A thorough treatment of the subject from a physical perspective may be found in the textbook [Meh04], for more mathematical emphasis [AGZ10] is recommended.

The connection between quantum chaology, analytic number theory and random matrix theory extends beyond 2-point statistics. In [NM09] the rescaled n-point correlation statistic was shown to coincide with the corresponding statistic in random matrix theory, given by the determinant

$$\det_{n \times n} S(x_i - x_j), \text{ with } i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{4.1.1}$$

where

$$S(x) = \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Following Montgomery's study of the pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta function through $\mathcal{F}(X,T)$, in [Hej94] Hejhal studied triple correlations of zeros of the Riemann zeta function and subsequently Rudnick and Sarnak considered the general case of n-point correlations for L-functions associated to automorphic forms in [RS96]. From a physical perspective, in a pair of papers Bogomolny and Keating first treated the case of triple and quadruple correlations of zeros of the Riemann zeta function around the time of the work of Hejhal in [BK95] and then the n-point case in [BK96b]. In all cases there is a match with the random matrix theory expression (4.1.1).

The results in this thesis concern 2-point statistics, however assuming the form (4.1.1), it would be interesting to determine moments beyond the variance determined in this thesis, generalising the work of Montgomery and Soundararajan in [MS04], taking zero correlations rather than prime correlations as the starting point.

4.2 Hardy-Littlewood type conjectures

In this thesis $Var^{fix}(X,h;F)$ was calculated by starting with a conjecture for the distribution of non-trivial zeros of F, however the variance may be related to the generalised correlation function,

$$C(r,N;F) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+r;F)\Lambda^*(n;F), \tag{4.2.1}$$

and was determined from the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture by Montgomery and Soundararajan for the case of the Riemann zeta function in [MS04] in this way. Writing $Var^{fix}(X,h;F)$ as a sum,

$$Var^{fix}(X,h;F) = \frac{1}{X}\sum_{1\leq x\leq X}\left|\sum_{x<n\leq x+h}\Lambda(n;F) - m(F)h\right|^2, \tag{4.2.2}$$

gives

$$\frac{1}{X}\sum_{1\leq x\leq X}\sum_{x<n\leq x+h}|\Lambda(n;F)|^2+\frac{1}{X}\sum_{1\leq x\leq X}\sum_{x<m\neq n\leq x+h}\Lambda(m;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$$
$$-\frac{m(F)h}{X}\sum_{1\leq x\leq X}\left(\sum_{x<m\leq x+h}\Lambda(m;F)+\sum_{x<n\leq x+h}\Lambda^*(n;F)\right)+(m(F)h)^2, \quad (4.2.3)$$

and so an understanding of the Hardy-Littlewood type generalised correlation function, (4.2.1) over short intervals of length h, averaged over X is desired.

The inversion argument in chapter 2 is well suited to the Riemann zeta function case, where the products involved in the off-diagonal contribution to the 2-point correlation statistic may be written in terms of Ramanujan sums. If such a decomposition of the products occuring in the general expression for the 2-point statistic may be found then the method could be replicated for the general case.

Plots for the Riemann zeta function case, the Ramanujan tau function case and an elliptic curve case are given for comparison.



Figure 4.1: Correlations for the Riemann zeta function case, ◯ are for $r = 2$ and △ are for $r = 6$, in agreement with the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture.



Figure 4.2: Correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case, ◯ are for $r = 2$ and △ are for $r = 6$. A negative bias is apparent with the appearence of convergence to a small negative value. This may change for larger values of N, however the code used would take an unfeasible length of time to extend N considerably.



Figure 4.3: Correlations for the elliptic curve E11.a3, ◯ are for $r = 2$ and △ are for $r = 6$. No correlations are apparent in this case.

Appendix A

Code for numerics

A.1 Python code for Sage

A.1.1 Generating Ramanujan tau values

```
sage: d = CuspForms(Gamma0(1), 12).basis()[0]
sage: t = [0]
sage: t = t + d.coefficients(1010000)
sage: tp = []
sage: for p in primes(1010001):
....:     tp.append(t[p])
....:
```

A.1.2 Writing Ramanujan tau values to a file

```
sage: o = open('File', 'w')
sage: for i in range(0, len(tp)):
....:     o.write(str(tp[i]) + '\n')
....:
sage: o.close()
```

A.1.3 Generating coefficients associated to an elliptic curve

sage: E = EllipticCurve((*a*1, *a*2, *a*3, *a*4, *a*6))

sage: x = E.aplist(1010000)

A.1.4 Writing coefficients associated to an elliptic curve to a file

sage: o = open('File', 'w')

sage: for i in range(0, len(x)):

....: o.write(str(x[i]) + '\n')

....:

sage: o.close()

A.2 Java code

A.2.1 Class for the Riemann zeta function and Ramanujan tau function

```
import java.io.FileOutputStream;
import java.io.PrintStream;
import java.io.FileReader;
import java.io.IOException;
import java.util.Scanner;

public class Tau {
public static final int[] Prime = MaximumValue(1010000);
private static int[] MaximumValue(int max) {
boolean[] isComposite = new boolean[max + 1];
for (int i = 2; i * i < = max; i++) {
```

```
if (!isComposite [i]) {
for (int j = i; i * j < = max; j++) {
isComposite [i * j] = true;
}
}
}
int numPrimes = 0;
for (int i = 2; i < = max; i++) {
if (!isComposite [i]) numPrimes++;
}
int index = 0;
int [] Prime = new int [numPrimes];
for (int i = 2; i < = max; i++) {
if (!isComposite [i]) Prime [index++] = i;
}
return Prime;
}

private static int log (int Base, int Argument) {
return (int) (Math.log(Argument) / Math.log(Base));
}

private static double vonMangoldtfunction(int n, double[] a) {
return a[n - 1];
}

private static double nprime(int n, double [] a){
return Math.round(Math.pow(Math.E, vonMangoldtfunction(n, a)));
}
```

```
private static double nprimepower(int n, double [] a) {
return (Math.log(n))/(vonMangoldtfunction(n, a));
}

private static double Taunormalisedcoefficient(int n, double[] a) {
if (a[n - 1] != 0) {
return (a[n - 1])/(Math.pow(n, 5.5));
}
else return 0;
}

private static double TauvonMangoldtfunction(int n, double[] a, double[] b)
{
if (vonMangoldtfunction(n, a) != 0) {
return 2*(Math.cos((nprimepower(n, a))
*(Math.acos((Taunormalisedcoefficient((int) nprime(n, a), b)) / 2))))
*(vonMangoldtfunction(n, a));
}
else return 0;
}

private static double intervalsum(double x, double y, double[] a, double [] b) {
double value = 0.0;
for (int i = (int)(x + 1); i < = x + y; i++) {
value = value + TauvonMangoldtfunction(i, a, b);
}
return value;
}

private static double squaremodulusofintervalsum(double x, double y, double[]
```

```
a, double [] b) {
return (intervalsum(x, y, a, b))*(intervalsum(x, y, a, b));
}

private static double variance(double x, double y, double[] a, double [] b) {
double value = 0.0;
for(int i = 1 ; i < = x; i++){
value = value + squaremodulusofintervalsum(i, y, a, b);
}
return value / (x * y);
}

private static double correlationfunction(int r, int n, double[] a, double[] b)
{
double sum = 0;
for(int i = 1; i < = n; i++){
sum = sum + (vonMangoldtfunction(i + r, a)) * (vonMangoldtfunction(i, a));
}
return sum / n;
}

// —– Main Method —– //

public static void main(String[] args) throws IOException {
double[] vonMangoldt = new double[1010000];

for (int i = 0; i < Prime.length; i++){
for (int j = 1; j < = log(Prime[i], 1010000); j++){
vonMangoldt[(int)(Math.pow(Prime[i], j) - 1)] = Math.log(Prime[i]);
}
```

```
}

FileReader file = new FileReader("File directory");

double[] Tauatprimearray = new double[79251];

int j = 0;
try {
Scanner input = new Scanner(file);
while(input.hasNext())
{
Tauatprimearray[j] = input.nextDouble();
j++;
}
input.close();
}

catch(Exception e)
{
e.printStackTrace();
}

double[] Tauprimearray = new double[1010000];
for(int i = 0; i < Prime.length; i++) {
Tauprimearray[Prime[i] - 1] = Tauatprimearray[i];
}
}
}
```

A.2.2 Writing variance data and correlation data to a file

```
PrintStream out = new PrintStream(new FileOutputStream("File.txt"));
System.setOut(out);

for(double h = 50.0; h < = 10000.0; h = 1.1 * h) {
System.out.println(
Math.log(1000000 / h) + " " + variance(1000000, h, vonMangoldtfunction,
Tauprimearray)
);
}

for(int n = 1; n < = 1000000; n++) {
if(n % 10000 == 0)System.out.println(
n + " " + (correlationfunction(r, vonMangoldtfunction, Tauprimearray))
);
}
```

Appendix B

Variance data

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
16.523560759066484	14.520951259388584
15.830413578506539	13.521030326116232
15.424948470398375	13.403677020009642
15.137266397946593	12.845041532187183
14.914122846632385	12.6383584434893
14.73180128983843	12.167262959003123
14.57765061001117	12.29675543048168
14.444119217386648	12.143894781372447
14.326336181730264	12.096567426173788
14.22097566607244	11.858721924525783
13.527828485512494	11.170738706704546
13.122363377404328	10.592076902033908
12.834681304952548	10.421986805840097
12.611537753638338	10.237567907703243
12.429216196844383	9.969909185902116
12.275065517017126	9.848096278758504

Table B.1: Variance data for the Riemann zeta function case for $X = 15000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
12.141534124392603	9.721962958385818
12.02375108873622	9.578118995789781
11.918390573078392	9.531382786577403
11.225243392518447	8.792654834154554
10.819778284410283	8.382459283873876
10.532096211958502	8.091839805669087
10.308952660644293	7.875314741751184
10.126631103850338	7.692603652059396
9.972480424023079	7.552222846376847
9.838949031398556	7.427696970639272
9.721165995742174	7.312241880462279
9.615805480084347	7.211462585733127
8.922658299524402	6.461382646993843
8.517193191416238	6.016651372253434
8.229511118964457	5.691277480520871
8.006367567650246	5.42447154063884
7.824046010856292	5.190747452086452
7.669895331029034	5.005145706015339
7.536363938404511	4.8543217618304055
7.418580902748128	4.734743947320245

Table B.2: Variance data for the Riemann zeta function case for $X = 15000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
9.210340371976184	12.450145500729484
9.115030192171858	12.400661530125248
9.019720012367532	12.34708451164469
8.924409832563208	12.284762216995691

Table B.3: Variance data for the Ramanujan tau function case for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
8.829099652758883	12.197874687361988
8.733789472954557	12.164159618941683
8.638479293150233	12.082616628550099
8.543169113345908	11.958999153859036
8.447858933541584	11.885484133822498
8.352548753737258	11.751711045091765
8.257238573932932	11.628142799666147
8.161928394128608	11.476177630280777
8.066618214324283	11.292865565851903
7.971308034519958	11.13438685471049
7.875997854715633	10.919082193463444
7.7806876749113085	10.710582242779699
7.685377495106984	10.485899640658461
7.590067315302659	10.252602524429552
7.494757135498334	10.004228907396628
7.399446955694009	9.768845004850796
7.304136775889684	9.51720291528726
7.208826596085359	9.26350592739765
7.113516416281034	9.040880153862338
7.0182062364767095	8.812677793267488
6.922896056672384	8.609000170727947
6.827585876868059	8.451093480225197
6.732275697063734	8.288420442037078
6.6369655172594095	8.11362657142882
6.541655337455085	7.906395737347605
6.446345157650759	7.6999278055609786
6.351034977846434	7.532567145504188
6.25572479804211	7.397706971472282

Table B.4: Variance data for the Ramanujan tau function case for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
6.160414618237785	7.27547302341981
6.06510443843346	7.132153930099307
5.969794258629135	6.941217742936219
5.87448407882481	6.709297941076495
5.779173899020485	6.496911012764781
5.68386371921616	6.303311826157115
5.588553539411835	6.174019590689406
5.4932433596075105	6.072318960136859
5.397933179803185	5.901837491254716
5.30262299999886	5.73333600100444
5.207312820194535	5.62867540353775
5.112002640390211	5.549964378657342
5.016692460585886	5.441854148589055
4.92138228078156	5.3061800338739395
4.826072100977235	5.127159813303661
4.730761921172911	4.95365755484686
4.635451741368586	4.740360864001797

Table B.5: Variance data for the Ramanujan tau function case for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
9.210340371976184	12.988785064767487
9.115030192171858	12.997764032878326
9.019720012367532	13.004586724380916
8.924409832563208	12.996769241593741
8.829099652758883	12.969625627496745
8.733789472954557	13.00376857091496
8.638479293150233	13.007987156980438

Table B.6: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E27.a1 for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
8.543169113345908	12.975635494444667
8.447858933541584	13.033284261301656
8.352548753737258	13.033043192354626
8.257238573932932	13.08258479534229
8.161928394128608	13.115676961833989
8.066618214324283	13.122741336640091
7.971308034519958	13.18214683047017
7.875997854715633	13.20063183388228
7.7806876749113085	13.228130275666208
7.685377495106984	13.229483400369974
7.590067315302659	13.205322374563357
7.494757135498334	13.157477154742308
7.399446955694009	13.136853514795833
7.304136775889684	13.083083808143206
7.208826596085359	13.051777633357279
7.113516416281034	12.995012253080189
7.0182062364767095	12.929632471752942
6.922896056672384	12.857957169486875
6.827585876868059	12.815959430094711
6.732275697063734	12.773318932555258
6.6369655172594095	12.702737753973945
6.541655337455085	12.642776486984614
6.446345157650759	12.531318431782148
6.351034977846434	12.321691986578813
6.25572479804211	12.057288145267053
6.160414618237785	11.767987859842052
6.06510443843346	11.47497952479035
5.969794258629135	11.18894511351632

Table B.7: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E27.a1 for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
5.87448407882481	10.878437827450739
5.779173899020485	10.519282456130739
5.68386371921616	10.165806048054563
5.588553539411835	9.834006161915957
5.4932433596075105	9.547996149220548
5.397933179803185	9.318551329935705
5.30262299999886	9.161481632716423
5.207312820194535	8.991573418986622
5.112002640390211	8.833917397378329
5.016692460585886	8.663093726005181
4.92138228078156	8.450088627208237
4.826072100977235	8.239267016764318
4.730761921172911	7.976739439896056
4.635451741368586	7.753322167924815

Table B.8: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E27.a1 for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
9.210340371976184	12.82419062430585
9.115030192171858	12.824246155795045
9.019720012367532	12.81357460024457
8.924409832563208	12.797500787698318
8.829099652758883	12.76300497079633
8.733789472954557	12.776251574611361
8.638479293150233	12.749465174239809
8.543169113345908	12.688138502913288
8.447858933541584	12.708073672744717
8.352548753737258	12.668437222504767

Table B.9: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.a1 for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
8.257238573932932	12.659516631186529
8.161928394128608	12.62036080103507
8.066618214324283	12.55357581884703
7.971308034519958	12.508511156031718
7.875997854715633	12.413449182303953
7.7806876749113085	12.355566368813387
7.685377495106984	12.316274278741968
7.590067315302659	12.27403213804591
7.494757135498334	12.218381134327782
7.399446955694009	12.192096796383874
7.304136775889684	12.148118791048645
7.208826596085359	12.090486660647485
7.113516416281034	12.014232065244181
7.0182062364767095	11.943484322389757
6.922896056672384	11.868757249267126
6.827585876868059	11.8063365856975
6.732275697063734	11.703796111556624
6.6369655172594095	11.611703390592691
6.541655337455085	11.554883462186949
6.446345157650759	11.501008379721927
6.351034977846434	11.4462613335653
6.25572479804211	11.344293582088966
6.160414618237785	11.220498150395324
6.06510443843346	11.076834588986078
5.969794258629135	10.91191153989503
5.87448407882481	10.760513116812575
5.779173899020485	10.554304781334274
5.68386371921616	10.336733819880877

Table B.10: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.a1 for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
5.588553539411835	10.128055692162041
5.4932433596075105	9.902032018560478
5.397933179803185	9.687620146916695
5.30262299999886	9.469430820236642
5.207312820194535	9.27655248794704
5.112002640390211	9.096138728539657
5.016692460585886	8.884950651380095
4.92138228078156	8.719455650136204
4.826072100977235	8.56622087360894
4.730761921172911	8.39938554053581
4.635451741368586	8.25496743981971

Table B.11: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.a1 for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
9.210340371976184	12.586858854104243
9.115030192171858	12.578704232496865
9.019720012367532	12.571410728076517
8.924409832563208	12.556088129168865
8.829099652758883	12.52576463119155
8.733789472954557	12.551634563137636
8.638479293150233	12.54941961187593
8.543169113345908	12.498020071454752
8.447858933541584	12.531953259695925
8.352548753737258	12.505562414336152
8.257238573932932	12.512442904068271
8.161928394128608	12.488338228410914
8.066618214324283	12.437665004774983

Table B.12: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.b2 for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
7.971308034519958	12.421654549038788
7.875997854715633	12.378682364579962
7.7806876749113085	12.361274149552548
7.685377495106984	12.31829396881534
7.590067315302659	12.263657764514718
7.494757135498334	12.209222759576027
7.399446955694009	12.165479862012774
7.304136775889684	12.140385311829222
7.208826596085359	12.141050370807301
7.113516416281034	12.144890118078353
7.0182062364767095	12.153719294927466
6.922896056672384	12.146470212662873
6.827585876868059	12.118148317121461
6.732275697063734	12.054966043010904
6.6369655172594095	11.97288737999676
6.541655337455085	11.859037270047974
6.446345157650759	11.717806876316573
6.351034977846434	11.579971995721666
6.25572479804211	11.47250888884662
6.160414618237785	11.348409028912807
6.06510443843346	11.220901062521488
5.969794258629135	11.033215057792335
5.87448407882481	10.878399679257777
5.779173899020485	10.723064374786576
5.68386371921616	10.538634686653987
5.588553539411835	10.36756358226578
5.4932433596075105	10.154221239636886
5.397933179803185	9.929323485780593

Table B.13: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.b2 for $X = 1000000$

$\log X/h$	$Var^{fix}(X,h;F)/h$
5.30262299999886	9.644831554416621
5.207312820194535	9.373321479394649
5.112002640390211	9.106265255379748
5.016692460585886	8.855225363911499
4.92138228078156	8.617284767730554
4.826072100977235	8.359511939303564
4.730761921172911	8.08998661024203
4.635451741368586	7.81696731174195

Table B.14: Variance data for the elliptic curve case E37.b2 for $X = 1000000$

Appendix C

Correlation data

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
10000	1.330292434	2.665065983
20000	1.309392821	2.644274684
30000	1.303508484	2.646920413
40000	1.317547846	2.65332364
50000	1.318375849	2.638610509
60000	1.311938543	2.616507661
70000	1.290404234	2.593017786
80000	1.289646866	2.601933661
90000	1.303001315	2.602631725
100000	1.315229125	2.612901024
110000	1.312343713	2.608655735
120000	1.321271774	2.60528435
130000	1.318706331	2.604051205
140000	1.315203744	2.607447111
150000	1.315126018	2.607333763
160000	1.322178079	2.604090594

Table C.1: Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
170000	1.313315233	2.600771486
180000	1.317717831	2.606094009
190000	1.324211639	2.611799703
200000	1.321438539	2.616957348
210000	1.325138356	2.614514419
220000	1.317339571	2.615611765
230000	1.321479779	2.624054105
240000	1.31802678	2.604904809
250000	1.317973033	2.61035921
260000	1.311987976	2.613364256
270000	1.315378805	2.626899689
280000	1.31461547	2.619509899
290000	1.316062284	2.624911455
300000	1.311057954	2.624646674
310000	1.314718972	2.625023088
320000	1.31721448	2.631384133
330000	1.327815562	2.632920876
340000	1.323026563	2.627828728
350000	1.321228085	2.637300662
360000	1.320974327	2.6341375
370000	1.317408426	2.632321027
380000	1.31273752	2.632927121
390000	1.31215607	2.635536588
400000	1.313809077	2.640219199
410000	1.313071724	2.635603435
420000	1.313681062	2.641790665
430000	1.319656014	2.6416832
440000	1.314557655	2.640253456

Table C.2: Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
450000	1.313531866	2.639461691
460000	1.313570707	2.636315535
470000	1.30917193	2.632942088
480000	1.310726659	2.628272383
490000	1.308466455	2.627803473
500000	1.309116154	2.632674472
510000	1.306101506	2.627889146
520000	1.307762803	2.627914266
530000	1.313036606	2.62448664
540000	1.312406615	2.62678395
550000	1.311065403	2.628826515
560000	1.309988549	2.626247692
570000	1.30962527	2.625719937
580000	1.31383141	2.627147271
590000	1.314875333	2.630739868
600000	1.315059206	2.632124451
610000	1.316455007	2.636920329
620000	1.314995266	2.637231395
630000	1.315608629	2.632405769
640000	1.316391394	2.633532889
650000	1.31761321	2.634037059
660000	1.316263599	2.630269862
670000	1.319153652	2.630183082
680000	1.318301501	2.627405183
690000	1.315417367	2.626615549
700000	1.314202169	2.62761107
710000	1.314910515	2.630445224
720000	1.313051006	2.627983697

Table C.3: Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
730000	1.313523006	2.631143405
740000	1.312293861	2.628627283
750000	1.312469208	2.629411312
760000	1.310385212	2.628315048
770000	1.308861623	2.630529273
780000	1.310115738	2.630281483
790000	1.310322117	2.627889873
800000	1.311478168	2.631032993
810000	1.309441919	2.630056128
820000	1.30838591	2.629612305
830000	1.310988047	2.628115592
840000	1.311759522	2.630026929
850000	1.310568486	2.634801336
860000	1.308997526	2.636703902
870000	1.309846896	2.637436458
880000	1.311129623	2.632355285
890000	1.313463103	2.633220029
900000	1.312015897	2.631611954
910000	1.311863545	2.632670433
920000	1.312964774	2.631907393
930000	1.314065491	2.633640195
940000	1.312955556	2.630757659
950000	1.313283649	2.629469274
960000	1.312243511	2.632099605
970000	1.313004146	2.63236835
980000	1.313382561	2.630539801
990000	1.313197086	2.629649839
1000000	1.312844345	2.631198767

Table C.4: Arithmetic correlations for the Riemann zeta function case

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
10000	-0.0189774403	-0.08442782339
20000	-0.03242674706	-0.1814906666
30000	0.05350701315	-0.02274914894
40000	0.01899372631	-0.06921723123
50000	0.04266321146	-0.09176519492
60000	-0.003253080071	-0.09175070692
70000	-0.01712846298	-0.1194830594
80000	-0.01744808398	-0.1276901007
90000	-0.04648709848	-0.123258718
100000	-0.04665489689	-0.1027644004
110000	-0.03788852796	-0.1000073675
120000	-0.0371087813	-0.08998876875
130000	-0.04734425296	-0.09357298413
140000	-0.03871682266	-0.06263512642
150000	-0.03006101438	-0.05455030481
160000	-0.02586546694	-0.05060990349
170000	-0.01748479684	-0.03822392085
180000	-0.001181937683	-0.03491899473
190000	0.01225223336	-0.03505783379
200000	0.004885815871	-0.0238265759
210000	-0.00098	-0.02971144814
220000	0.009393308044	-0.0382814219
230000	0.01204287425	-0.03843039904
240000	0.007826859765	-0.04543061557
250000	0.006604528201	-0.04173056499
260000	0.001282254576	-0.03697046738
270000	-0.009535612811	-0.02900872698
280000	-0.01475572686	-0.04598304049

Table C.5: Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
290000	-0.0113937803	-0.047239434
300000	-0.002842263831	-0.05012316992
310000	-0.005211381643	-0.04677785246
320000	-0.01079038242	-0.04838577936
330000	-0.01130281236	-0.05098659701
340000	-0.01186006184	-0.04707257111
350000	-0.009948712072	-0.05030756301
360000	-0.002680989724	-0.05411621594
370000	-0.001133246745	-0.05630497331
380000	-0.002443019706	-0.05959486012
390000	-0.004979440765	-0.05337992938
400000	0.003722088514	-0.05151054842
410000	-0.001602054897	-0.06002416753
420000	-0.004194878164	-0.05617738769
430000	-0.006473868593	-0.05262061414
440000	-0.009209925451	-0.05901353435
450000	-0.007689610065	-0.0554534687
460000	-0.007502199808	-0.06306767361
470000	-0.01210060229	-0.06787097275
480000	-0.00958419432	-0.06110575304
490000	-0.007056085556	-0.0589300837
500000	-0.007128235852	-0.04879096556
510000	-0.008397314552	-0.0500323917
520000	-0.0158207327	-0.03760700881
530000	-0.01832489405	-0.03923128101
540000	-0.02269515311	-0.03672496854
550000	-0.02376031288	-0.02802674811
560000	-0.01921794053	-0.03224394089

Table C.6: Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
570000	-0.02272588482	-0.03260203354
580000	-0.02517267544	-0.03468682827
590000	-0.02889821002	-0.03919581357
600000	-0.03050035577	-0.03924088897
610000	-0.02758590799	-0.03425085182
620000	-0.02981919213	-0.03576753068
630000	-0.02882483191	-0.0350609601
640000	-0.03345084552	-0.03054331654
650000	-0.03527129109	-0.03059001705
660000	-0.03678650911	-0.03634482904
670000	-0.03715968978	-0.03524981789
680000	-0.03502060296	-0.03816686608
690000	-0.03396350548	-0.03873672407
700000	-0.03470933613	-0.03927460319
710000	-0.03593926547	-0.03669712524
720000	-0.03719309038	-0.03689551867
730000	-0.03504866338	-0.04193547039
740000	-0.03360291577	-0.04533385386
750000	-0.03212371828	-0.04402232818
760000	-0.03194360359	-0.04165550128
770000	-0.03124277526	-0.04019531646
780000	-0.0319127759	-0.03793252369
790000	-0.03280035278	-0.04008243438
800000	-0.03220979131	-0.03464642662
810000	-0.03358457667	-0.0361304424
820000	-0.0317043789	-0.03592271016
830000	-0.03218264369	-0.03340236767
840000	-0.03334972742	-0.02938632407

Table C.7: Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
850000	-0.0328039171	-0.03097091229
860000	-0.03121950705	-0.03507015215
870000	-0.03317460071	-0.03403036823
880000	-0.03372010312	-0.03232125634
890000	-0.03203089945	-0.03732169961
900000	-0.03076232625	-0.03688399552
910000	-0.03122602894	-0.03589739301
920000	-0.03094921463	-0.03421212047
930000	-0.02841595948	-0.03361505122
940000	-0.03081887357	-0.03051921045
950000	-0.0315540321	-0.03250261653
960000	-0.0309007989	-0.03324071654
970000	-0.03012839675	-0.02979650751
980000	-0.02973947929	-0.02418204924
990000	-0.02835974557	-0.02427138206
1000000	-0.02808424592	-0.02517614702

Table C.8: Arithmetic correlations for the Ramanujan tau function case

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
10000	0.001360693324	-0.1205032398
20000	0.005526608274	-0.147000187
30000	0.03702803805	0.01294441124
40000	0.04487384484	-0.006133230287
50000	0.007950980142	0.01763560136
60000	0.03857765089	0.0299684617
70000	0.03894359829	0.02436846415
80000	0.03199803913	0.00941667465

Table C.9: Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
90000	0.01616671351	0.02193135939
100000	0.003366518021	0.05376886526
110000	-0.004820349393	0.03822876044
120000	-0.01435434687	0.04072244619
130000	-0.01240894568	0.03598964429
140000	0.004674537615	0.04101144451
150000	-0.001836541417	0.04142766238
160000	-0.01493880436	0.04086650171
170000	-0.009496183087	0.02476938222
180000	-0.01874572763	0.02481055577
190000	-0.01948604441	0.02529319654
200000	-0.02391289435	0.02958925117
210000	-0.01936071972	0.02068020678
220000	-0.01850873577	0.01747038783
230000	-0.01391225145	-0.001849554641
240000	-0.01897856564	-0.000784
250000	-0.02128396007	0.006196869743
260000	-0.02056421245	-0.0000998
270000	-0.0239424774	-0.000226
280000	-0.01294407074	0.009335738365
290000	-0.01823237133	0.01535360847
300000	-0.02239250241	0.01701863976
310000	-0.02356350482	0.02512130429
320000	-0.02416480064	0.03134765443
330000	-0.03219216843	0.0212919432
340000	-0.03758607637	0.01163956319
350000	-0.04440585416	0.01811200314
360000	-0.03644117167	0.01592575687

Table C.10: Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
370000	-0.04242407093	0.008217930691
380000	-0.04415965109	0.0135441231
390000	-0.04638556444	0.009492067397
400000	-0.04045402702	0.01687636346
410000	-0.03661715982	0.02029750119
420000	-0.03724763127	0.01413224349
430000	-0.03621173446	0.02124933408
440000	-0.04083197481	0.01741707557
450000	-0.03955737675	0.02015212998
460000	-0.04023054229	0.01990697603
470000	-0.03899391608	0.0218177904
480000	-0.03528814401	0.02215101745
490000	-0.03652044498	0.02251188652
500000	-0.03590633097	0.02217368551
510000	-0.03201310385	0.03222708836
520000	-0.03044086494	0.02709231454
530000	-0.02924381283	0.02683025438
540000	-0.02785780813	0.02541288526
550000	-0.02261602292	0.027261404
560000	-0.0213661269	0.02263497032
570000	-0.02593604881	0.02515762476
580000	-0.02128891545	0.02791486977
590000	-0.01770654279	0.03281587457
600000	-0.01794452524	0.03193556161
610000	-0.01971965974	0.03885585589
620000	-0.02107177847	0.03944204942
630000	-0.02188074493	0.0370770951
640000	-0.0198457308	0.02763544504

Table C.11: Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
650000	-0.02081392246	0.02787621807
660000	-0.01977918882	0.02555723798
670000	-0.02239569219	0.0214671847
680000	-0.02335690979	0.02060756263
690000	-0.02137447227	0.0182869915
700000	-0.02076795813	0.01683291091
710000	-0.02001433019	0.0171875706
720000	-0.02049796502	0.01821948635
730000	-0.01760380482	0.02018539935
740000	-0.01660947537	0.01982335679
750000	-0.01657891183	0.02143069221
760000	-0.0171344018	0.02245305394
770000	-0.01784892258	0.02233721615
780000	-0.01720520961	0.02581298687
790000	-0.01752178322	0.02452948772
800000	-0.01778293828	0.02465176887
810000	-0.01552380393	0.02901224375
820000	-0.01288973138	0.03030389132
830000	-0.01003305218	0.02717794237
840000	-0.01350272027	0.02602559229
850000	-0.01282143442	0.02419650131
860000	-0.01386408302	0.02420635351
870000	-0.009836966878	0.02344567239
880000	-0.01335636347	0.02050915349
890000	-0.009755359563	0.01802602114
900000	-0.01182808099	0.0168340123
910000	-0.009186812824	0.01067370944
920000	-0.006378927412	0.01118558399

Table C.12: Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3

N	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+2;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$	$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n\leq N}\Lambda(n+6;F)\Lambda^*(n;F)$
930000	-0.007024807306	0.009276106156
940000	-0.006578997168	0.01012236377
950000	-0.005227612016	0.01074753742
960000	-0.003634826018	0.007321265298
970000	-0.002747957287	0.006915929712
980000	0.000408	0.006821232812
990000	0.002042736259	0.006259319362
1000000	0.00071	0.007244883054

Table C.13: Arithmetic correlations for the elliptic curve case E11.a3

Appendix D

Exponential weight

Consideration is now given to

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{I}(X,T) &= \int_{|t|\leq T}\left|\sum_{n\geq 1} X^{it(n;F)}\exp\left(-2(t-t(n;F))^2\right)1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z}\right|^2 dt \\ &= \sum_{m,n\geq 1} X^{i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq Z} \\ &\quad \int_{|t|\leq T}\exp\left(-2((t-t(m;F))^2+(t-t(n;F))^2)\right)\,dt. \qquad \text{(D.1)}\end{aligned}$$

Following the approach in chapter 3, using the density of zeros to restrict the summation to those with $|t(m;F)| \leq Z, |t(n;F)| \leq Z$, results in an error of size $\ll (\log T)^2$. Also, extending the domain of integration to the whole real line gives an error of size $\ll (\log T)^3$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{I}(X,T) &= \sum_{m,n\geq 1} X^{i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq Z} \\ &\quad \int \exp\left(-2((t-t(m;F))^2+(t-t(n;F))^2)\right)\,dt + O\left((\log T)^3\right) \\ &= \sum_{m,n\geq 1} X^{i(t(m;F)-t(n;F))}\exp\left(-2((t(m;F))^2+(t(n;F))^2)\right) \\ &\quad 1_{|t(m;F)|,|t(n;F)|\leq Z}\int \exp(-4t^2+4(t(m;F)+t(n;F))t)\,dt \\ &\quad + O\left((\log T)^3\right). \qquad \text{(D.2)}\end{aligned}$$

For $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$ the integral identity

$$\int \exp(-at^2+bt)\,dt = \exp(b^2/(4a))\sqrt{\pi/a} \qquad \text{(D.3)}$$

may now be applied with $a = 4$ and $b = 4(t(m;F) + t(n;F)))$, giving

$$\tilde{I}(X,T) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X,T;F) + O\left((\log T)^3\right). \tag{D.4}$$

Considering $\mathcal{F}(X,T;F)$ for example in the regime $\deg(F) < A_1 < A_2$ then gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int |a(it)|^2 \left| \sum_{n\geq 1} X^{it(n;F)} \exp\left(-2(t-t(n;F))^2\right) 1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z} \right|^2 dt \\
=&\sqrt{\pi}\kappa \left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\kappa} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (1-\gamma-\log 4\pi)\deg(F) \right) + O\left(\kappa^{1+c}\right) \\
&+ O_\epsilon\left(\kappa^{1+c_1-\epsilon}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\kappa^{1+c_2-\epsilon}\right) \\
=&\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\delta \left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (1-\gamma-\log 2\pi)\deg(F) \right) + O\left(\delta^{1+c}\right) \\
&+ O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_1-\epsilon}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_2-\epsilon}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{D.5}$$

where Lemma A has been used for

$$\delta^{-(1-c_1)} \ll T \ll \delta^{-(1+c_2)}, \tag{D.6}$$

for some $0 < c_1 < 1$ and $0 < c_2 < 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \left| \sum_{n\geq 1} a(1/2+it(n;F)) X^{it(n;F)} \exp\left(-(t-t(n;F))^2\right) 1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z} \right|^2 dt \\
=&\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\delta \left(\deg(F)\log\frac{1}{\delta} + \log\mathfrak{q}(F) + (1-\gamma-\log 2\pi)\deg(F) \right) \\
&+ O\left(\delta^{1+c}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_1-\epsilon}\right) + O_\epsilon\left(\delta^{1+c_2-\epsilon}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{D.7}$$

Making use of the Fourier transform,

$$\int \exp(-(t-b)^2)\exp(-2\pi ikt)\,dt = \sqrt{\pi}\exp(-\pi^2k^2)\exp(-2\pi ikb), \tag{D.8}$$

an application of Plancherel's formula then results in

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \left| \sum_{n\geq 1} a(1/2+it(n;F)) X^{it(n;F)} \exp(-(t-t(n;F))^2) 1_{|t(n;F)|\leq Z} \right|^2 dt \\
=&\pi \int \left| \sum_{n\geq 1} a(1/2+it(n;F)) X^{it(n;F)} \exp(-\pi^2u^2)\exp(-2\pi it(n;F)u) \right|^2 du \\
=&\pi \int \left| \sum_{n\geq 1} a(1/2+it(n;F)) \exp(it(n;F)(Y+y)) \right|^2 \exp(-y^2/2)\,dy,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.9}$$

using the substitution $Y = \log X$ and $y = -2\pi u$.

The weight now differs to the one removed by Lemma B in chapter 3. To proceed Lemma B requires some modification, given a more general initial statement than provided by Lemma B,

$$f(T) = \int g(T+y)h(y)\,dy = O(\exp(-c_1 T)), \tag{D.10}$$

where $0 < c_1 < 1$, the choice of H such that

$$F(T) = \int g(T+y)H(y)\,dy = O(\exp(-c_2 T)), \tag{D.11}$$

where $0 < c_2 < 1$, is sought. $F(T) = O(\exp(-c_2 T))$ if $\mathscr{F}[F](s)$ is bounded and analytic in a strip. By the convolution theorem

$$\mathscr{F}[F](s) = \frac{\mathscr{F}[f](s)\mathscr{F}[H](s)}{\mathscr{F}[h](s)}, \tag{D.12}$$

therefore $F(T) = O(\exp(-c_2 T))$ if $\frac{\mathscr{F}[H](s)}{\mathscr{F}[h](s)}$ is bounded which will occur if $\mathscr{F}[H](s)$ decays more rapidly than $\mathscr{F}[h](s)$.

Bibliography

[ABDF11] Gernot Akemann, Jinho Baik, and Philippe Di Francesco, editors. *The Oxford handbook of random matrix theory*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011.

[ADDea93] N Argaman, F M Dittes, and E Doron et al. Correlations in the actions of periodic orbits derived from quantum chaos. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71(26):4326–4329, 1993.

[AGZ10] Greg W. Anderson, Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni. *An introduction to random matrices*, volume 118 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[Apo76] Tom M Apostol. *Introduction to analytic number theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics.

[Ber85] Michael Victor Berry. Semiclassical theory of spectral rigidity. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 400(1819):229–251, 1985.

[Ber86] Michael Victor Berry. Riemann's zeta function: A model for quantum chaos? In T. H. Seligman and H. Nishioka, editors, *Quantum chaos and statistical nuclear physics*, pages 1–17, 1986.

[BGS84] O Bohigas, M-J Giannoni, and C Schmit. Characterization of chaotic quantum spectra and universality of level fluctuation laws. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 52(1):1–4, 1984.

[BK95] Eugene B Bogomolny and Jon Peter Keating. Random matrix theory and the Riemann zeros. I. Three- and four-point correlations. *Nonlinearity*, 8(6):1115–1131, 1995.

[BK96a] Eugene B Bogomolny and Jon Peter Keating. Gutzwiller's trace formula and spectral statistics: beyond the diagonal approximation. *Physical review letters*, 77(8):1472–1475, 1996.

[BK96b] Eugene B Bogomolny and Jon Peter Keating. Random matrix theory and the Riemann zeros. II. n-point correlations. *Nonlinearity*, 9(4):911–935, 1996.

[BK13] Eugene Bogomolny and Jon Peter Keating. Two-point correlation function for Dirichlet L-functions. *J. Phys. A*, 46(9):095202, 10, 2013.

[BKS16] Hung Manh Bui, Jon Peter Keating, and Dale James Smith. On the variance of sums of arithmetic functions over primes in short intervals and pair correlation for L-functions in the Selberg class. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 2016.

[BL94] Eugene Bogomolny and Pierre Lebœuf. Statistical properties of the zeros of zeta functions—beyond the Riemann case. *Nonlinearity*, 7(4):1155–1167, 1994.

[CFZ08] Brian J Conrey, David W Farmer, and Martin R Zirnbauer. Autocorrelation of ratios of L-functions. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 2(3):593–636, 2008.

[CG93] Brian J Conrey and A Ghosh. On the Selberg class of Dirichlet series: small degrees. *Duke Math. J.*, 72(3):673–693, 1993.

[Cha03] Tsz Ho Chan. More precise pair correlation of zeros and primes in short intervals. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 68(3):579–598, 2003.

[CJMV06] Pierre Cartier, Bernard Julia, Pierre Moussa, and Pierre Vanhove, editors. *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. I.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. On random matrices, zeta functions, and dynamical systems, Papers from the meeting held in Les Houches, March 9–21, 2003, Corrected second printing of the 2006 original.

[CK01] Richard D Connors and Jon Peter Keating. Quantum energy levels and classical periodic orbits: discreteness and statistical duality. *Found. Phys.*, 31(4):669–692, 2001. Invited papers dedicated to Martin C. Gutzwiller, Part V.

[CS07] Brian J Conrey and Nina Claire Snaith. Applications of the L-functions ratios conjectures. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 94(3):594–646, 2007.

[Dav00] Harold Davenport. *Multiplicative number theory*, volume 74 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2000. Revised and with a preface by Hugh L. Montgomery.

[Edw01] H M Edwards. *Riemann's zeta function*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2001. Reprint of the 1974 original [Academic Press, New York; MR0466039 (57 #5922)].

[Far93] David W. Farmer. Long mollifiers of the Riemann zeta-function. *Mathematika*, 40(1):71–87, 1993.

[GM87] Daniel A Goldston and Hugh L Montgomery. Pair correlation of zeros and primes in short intervals. In *Analytic number theory and Diophantine problems (Stillwater, OK, 1984)*, volume 70 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 183–203. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1987.

[Goo83] Anton Good. On various means involving the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms. *Math. Z.*, 183:95–129, 1983.

[GR07] I S Gradshteyn and I M Ryzhik. *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, seventh edition, 2007. Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger, With one CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX).

[Gut90] Martin C Gutzwiller. *Chaos in classical and quantum mechanics*, volume 1 of *Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[Hej94] Dennis A Hejhal. On the triple correlation of zeros of the zeta function. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (7):293ff., approx. 10 pp. (electronic), 1994.

[HL23] G H Hardy and J E Littlewood. Some problems of 'Partitio numerorum'; III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes. *Acta Math.*, 44(1):1–70, 1923.

[Kea93] Jon Peter Keating. The Riemann zeta-function and quantum chaology. In *Quantum chaos (Varenna, 1991)*, Proc. Internat. School of Phys. Enrico Fermi, CXIX, pages 145–185. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.

[Kea99] Jon Peter Keating. Periodic orbits, spectral statistics, and the riemann zeros. In *Supersymmetry and trace formulae: chaos and disorder*, volume 370 of *NATO ASI*, pages 1–15. Kluwer Academic/ Plenum, 1999.

[KP99] Jerzy Kaczorowski and Alberto Perelli. On the structure of the Selberg class. I. $0 \leq d \leq 1$. *Acta Math.*, 182(2):207–241, 1999.

[KP02] Jerzy Kaczorowski and Alberto Perelli. On the structure of the Selberg class. V. $1 < d < 5/3$. *Invent. Math.*, 150(3):485–516, 2002.

[KP03] Jerzy Kaczorowski and Alberto Perelli. On the prime number theorem for the Selberg class. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 80(3):255–263, 2003.

[KP08] Jerzy Kaczorowski and Alberto Perelli. A note on the degree conjecture for the Selberg class. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, 57(3):443–448, 2008.

[KP11] Jerzy Kaczorowski and Alberto Perelli. On the structure of the Selberg class, VII: $1 < d < 2$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(3):1397–1441, 2011.

[LMF13] The LMFDB Collaboration. The l-functions and modular forms database. http://www.lmfdb.org, 2013.

[LPZ12] Alessandro Languasco, Alberto Perelli, and Alessandro Zaccagnini. Explicit relations between pair correlation of zeros and primes in short intervals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 394(2):761–771, 2012.

[Meh04] Madan Lal Mehta. *Random matrices*, volume 142 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam)*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, third edition, 2004.

[Mon73] Hugh L Montgomery. The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function. In *Analytic number theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972)*, pages 181–193. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.

[MP99] M Ram Murty and Alberto Perelli. The pair correlation of zeros of functions in the Selberg class. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (10):531–545, 1999.

[MS04] Hugh L Montgomery and Kannan Soundararajan. Primes in short intervals. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 252(1-3):589–617, 2004.

[MS05] F Mezzadri and Nina Claire Snaith, editors. *Recent perspectives in random matrix theory and number theory*, volume 322 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[NM09] Taro Nagao and Sebastian Müller. The n-level spectral correlations for chaotic systems. *J. Phys. A*, 42(37):375102, 13, 2009.

[Odl01] A. M. Odlyzko. The 10^{22}-nd zero of the Riemann zeta function. In *Dynamical, spectral, and arithmetic zeta functions (San Antonio, TX, 1999)*, volume 290 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 139–144. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[Pat88] S J Patterson. *An introduction to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, volume 14 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[Per04] Alberto Perelli. A survey of the Selberg class of L-functions. II. *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (7)*, 3*:83–118, 2004.

[Per05] Alberto Perelli. A survey of the Selberg class of L-functions. I. *Milan J. Math.*, 73:19–52, 2005.

[RS96] Zeév Rudnick and Peter Sarnak. Zeros of principal L-functions and random matrix theory. *Duke Math. J.*, 81(2):269–322, 1996. A celebration of John F. Nash, Jr.

[Sag] Sage. www.sagemath.org.

[Sel92] Atle Selberg. Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series. In *Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (Maiori, 1989)*, pages 367–385, Salerno, 1992. Univ. Salerno.

[SR01] Martin Sieber and Klaus Richter. Correlations between periodic orbits and their role in spectral statistics. *Phys. Scr.*, T90:128–133, 2001.

[SV77] B. Saffari and Robert C. Vaughan. On the fractional parts of x/n and related sequences. II. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 27(2):v, 1–30, 1977.

[Tit86] E C Titchmarsh. *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1986. Edited and with a preface by D R Heath-Brown.